CBOR Tag registry development and maintenance
This is not a draft – this is picking up a few discussion threads.

Christian Amsüss

2022-07-28, IETF 114
Examples

- 35: PCRE / ECMA262
  Not part of STD94 because of internal ambiguity and outdatedness

- 260/261 → 52/54: IP addresses
  260/261 DEPRECATED since RFC9164 (late decision; originally “we don’t touch”)

- 1 and 1001: Time, with extensions
  time-tag is dict-style extension of 1. Its key \( 4 \approx \text{key/tag 1} + \) allowing bigfloat etc.

- 38: Language
  problem-details changes \#6.38([ltag, text]) to \#6.38([ltag, text, ?dir])

- 32: URIs (hypothetical)
  What if RFC3986 gets updated?
Random ideas around

Tag deprecations; column “recommended for new protocols”?

Guidance on how evolvable tag values – generalized from protocol design?

[int / tstr / (any .feature "allowed-type-extension"), ...
..., * (any .feature "more-values")]

Expert Review guidance on stability?

URIs in external specifications are not snapshotted.

“Equivalence principle” relevant?
Thanks

Discuss!