

A YANG Data Model for Layer 0 Types

draft-ietf-ccamp-rfc9093-bis-01

Co-authors (frontpage):

- Dieter Beller (Nokia)
- [Sergio Belotti \(Nokia\)](#)
- V. Lopez (Nokia)
- Haomian Zheng (Huawei)
- Italo Busi (Huawei)
- Esther Le Rouzic (Orange)
- Y.Lee (Samsung)
- A. Guo (Futurewei)
- D. King (University of Lancaster)

Contributors

- Gabriele Galimberti (Cisco)
- D. Dhody (Huawei)
- B.Y. Yoon (ETRI)
- R. Vilalta (CTTC)
- Enrico Griseri (Nokia)

Background of the new document and actual status

- This document obsoletes RFC9093, encompassing the content of RFC9093 with the content of [draft-ietf-ccamp-layer0-types-ext-01](#)
- Github <https://github.com/ietf-ccamp-wg/ietf-ccamp-layer0-types-ext>
- Addressing the comments received at IETF 112 (see [minute](#))
- We have restructured the document draft-ietf-ccamp-layer0-types-ext to become RFC9093-bis
- Now , for IETF-114, fixing issue [#48](#)
 - The content of the ietf-layer0-types-ext.yang have been moved into ietf-layer0-types.yang
 - ietf-layer0-types-ext.yang have been deleted from the repository
- Fixing issues [#41](#), [#42](#), [#43](#), [#44](#), [#45](#), [#46](#), [#49](#), [#50](#) [#54](#) (see next slides)
 - Issues #44,#49,#50 related to optical impairments topology model

Added new modulation format (issue #41)

```
identity DPSK {  
    base modulation;  
    description  
        "DPSK (Differential Phase Shift Keying) modulation";  
}  
.....  
identity QAM32 {  
    base modulation;  
    description  
        "QAM32 (32 symbols Quadrature Amplitude Modulation)";  
}  
identity DP-QAM32 {  
    base modulation;  
    description  
        "DP-QAM32 (32 symbols Dual Polarization Quadrature  
Amplitude  
Modulation)";  
}
```

```
identity QAM64 {  
    base modulation;  
    description  
        "QAM64 (64 symbols Quadrature Amplitude  
Modulation)";  
}  
identity DP-QAM64 {  
    base modulation;  
    description  
        "DP-QAM64 (64 symbols Dual Polarization Quadrature  
Amplitude  
Modulation)";  
}
```

Issues #42 and #43

- We changed the reference in the operational-mode definition: no more ITU-T G.698.2 but exactly the section in draft-ietf-ccamp-optical-impairment-topology where OM is introduced.

```
typedef operational-mode {  
    type string;  
    description  
        "Organization/vendor specific mode that guarantees  
        interoperability.";  
    // RFC Ed.: replace YYYY with actual RFC number and remove  
    // this note after draft-ietf-ccamp-optical-impairment-topology-yang  
    // is published as an RFC  
    reference  
        "Section 2.5.2 of RFC YYYY: A YANG Data Model for Optical  
        Impairment-aware Topology.";  
}
```

- Deleted the reference to RFC6205 in frequency definitions :
reference

```
"RFC6205: Generalized Labels for  
Lambda-Switch Capable (LSC) Label Switching Routers";
```

```
typedef frequency-thz {  
    type decimal64 {  
        fraction-digits 6;  
    }  
    units THz;  
    description  
        "The DWDM frequency in THz, e.g., 193.112500";  
}  
typedef frequency-ghz {  
    type decimal64 {  
        fraction-digits 3;  
    }  
    units GHz;  
    description  
        "The DWDM frequency in GHz, e.g., 193112.500";  
}
```

Penalty definition and associated accumulated values (issues #44, #49, #50)

- The model originally presenting a list of triplet with a combination of CD and PMD with associated penalty.
- Now we have a separate list of pair (accumulated effect, associated Penalty), effect could be CD, PMD or PDL
- Modified penalty description, aligned with OpenConfig .

```
grouping penalty-value {  
  description  
    "A common definition of the penalty value used for describing  
    multiple penalty types (.e.g, CD, PMD, PDL).";  
  leaf penalty-value {  
    type union {  
      type decimal64 {  
        fraction-digits 2;  
        range "0..max";  
      }  
      type empty;  
    }  
    units "dB";  
    config false;  
    mandatory true;  
    description  
      "OSNR penalty associated with the related optical impairment at  
      the receiver.";  
  }  
}
```

Penalty YANG modifications

```
list chromatic-dispersion-penalty {  
  config false;  
  description  
    "Optional penalty associated with a given accumulated  
    chromatic dispersion (CD) value.  
    This list of pair cd and penalty can be used to  
    sample the function penalty = f(CD).";  
  leaf chromatic-dispersion {  
    type union {  
      type decimal64 {  
        fraction-digits 2;  
        range "0..max";  
      }  
      type empty;  
    }  
    units "ps/nm";  
    config false;  
    mandatory true;  
    description "Chromatic dispersion";  
  }  
  uses penalty-value;  
}
```

```
list polarization-dispersion-penalty {  
  config false;  
  description  
    "Optional penalty associated with a given accumulated  
    polarization mode dispersion(PMD) value.  
    This list of pair pmd and penalty can be used to  
    sample the function penalty = f(PMD).";  
  leaf polarization-mode-dispersion {  
    type union {  
      type decimal64 {  
        fraction-digits 2;  
        range "0..max";  
      }  
      type empty;  
    }  
    units "ps";  
    config false;  
    mandatory true;  
    description "Polarization mode dispersion";  
  }  
  uses penalty-value;  
}
```

Transmitter tunability (issue #45)

- Clarified the meaning of the attribute “central-frequency-step”: *the attribute deals with the granularity of the transmitter tunability of the carrier central frequency.*
- We have updated both the name and description

```
leaf transceiver-tunability {  
    type frequency-ghz;  
    config false;  
    description  
        "This parameter indicates the transmitter  
frequency fine  
tuning steps e.g 3.125GHz or 0.001GHz.";  
}
```

Frequency range clarification (issue #46)

- Clarified that the grouping “frequency range” is used to define a portion of the spectrum (e.g., a band) that does not match a frequency slot width (i.e., not using the n and m attributes).
 - Frequency slot is defined by its nominal central frequency (with n value) and its slot width (with m value).

```
grouping frequency-range {  
  description  
    "This grouping defines the lower and upper bounds of a frequency range (e.g., a  
band)."
```

This grouping SHOULD NOT be used to define a frequency slot, which SHOULD be defined using the n and m values instead.;

```
  leaf lower-frequency {  
    type frequency-thz;  
    mandatory true;  
    description  
      "The lower frequency boundary of the  
frequency range.";  
  }  
  leaf upper-frequency {  
    type frequency-thz;  
    must '. > ../lower-frequency' {  
      error-message  
        "The upper frequency must be greater than the lower  
frequency.";  
    }  
    mandatory true;  
    description  
      "The upper frequency boundary of the  
frequency range.";  
  }  
}
```


New issue related to IANA section

- As authors we received, from IANA Operation Manager, a suggested update of the section 5 “IANA Consideration” see issue [#58](#)
- The new text proposed will be added in the next draft update.

Next Steps

- We still need to reconcile text in the introduction from RFC9093 with the one from layer0-types-ext.
- Complete the Appendix A with the changes from RFC 9093
- Fixing the remaining issues <https://github.com/ietf-ccamp-wg/ietf-ccamp-layer0-types-ext/issues>

backup

