# **Domain Verification Techniques**

DNSOP WG, IETF 114

**Shivan Kaul Sahib** 

Shumon Huque Paul Wouters

#### What is domain verification?

Many providers on the internet need users to prove that they control a particular domain before granting them some sort of privilege associated with that domain.

For e.g. ACME has a DNS-based challenge for a user to prove that they control a particular domain (and hence should be issued a cert for it)

# Survey

- 1. TXT
- 2. CNAME

#### TXT-based

- "Please add this DNS TXT record with random value at the domain being verified to prove that you own this domain"
- Typically expires in a few days
- In practice, wide variation

#### Pattern: RDATA

```
bbc.com. 3599 IN TXT
"atlassian-domain-verification=SQsgJ5h/FqwMTXuSG/G4Nd1Gx6uX2keREOsZSa22D5
XT46EsEuyaic8Aej4cR4Tr"
bbc.com. 3599 IN TXT
"google-site-verification=yTRDtkD0tgHXSaJL0EtVrYGv1moNR-QkK8BAvjTv2Q8"
```

#### Pattern: name

#### ACME DNS TXT example

\_acme-challenge.example.com. IN TXT "cE3A8qQpEzAIYq-T9DWNdLJ1\_YRXamdxcjGTbzrOH5L"

#### GitHub DNS TXT example

\_github-challenge-octocat.octocat.com IN TXT "9a6c10f4c4"

# No pattern

bbc.com. 3599 IN TXT "1884df5221d841f294fd942e3e95a01f"

### **CNAME-based**

- Fallback option
- Might be used if the domain name already has a CNAME
  - Since CNAMEs can't coexist with other records (e.g. TXT) at the same domain name
- Point to a service provider property

# Google Workspace CNAME example

```
3IBW7URVCRWY.example.com. IN CNAME gv-LtgM1Qglw0JCE7mBVgLvM1DwuLGnuwzPCbsmXh3zjs4h6EWb8gy6.domainverify.g ooglehosted.com."
```

### Recommendations

- 1. Targeted to service
- 2. Time-bound

## Targeted Domain Verification

- 1. Similar to what ACME and GitHub do
- 2. Allows a service provider to get only the records they need
- 3. Putting all TXT records at the same name causes bloating
  - a. Causing retries over TCP

## Time-bound checking

- 1. When can the records be removed?
- 2. Should they exist in perpetuity?

## Feedback and Changes

- 1. Removed mention of specific companies
  - a. Move to Appendix (#25)
- 2. Removed use of normative language
  - a. Purely informational
- 3. Summarize recommendations in the intro
- 4. Adopted by WG

### TODO

- 1. Move examples to Appendix (#25)
- 2. Move to WG GitHub

### Thanks!