RateLimit Headers

Communicate service status
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[see the specifications]
RateLimit Fields - Goals

- communicate service limits, so clients can stop before being throttled out
- align all the *already existing* ratelimit headers and stop headers' proliferation
- express multiple RateLimit policies
STOP headers proliferation

X-RateLimit-UserLimit: 1231513
X-RateLimit-UserRemaining
X-Rate-Limit-Limit: name=rate-limit-1,1000
x-custom-retry-after-ms
x-rate-limit-minute: 100
x-rate-limit-hour: 1000
X-RateLimit-Remaining-month
X-RateLimit-Retry-After: 11529485261
X-Rate-Limit-Reset: Wed, 21 Oct 2015 07:28:00 GMT

RateLimit-Limit: SF-List #quota-units
RateLimit-Remaining: SF-Integer #quota-units
RateLimit-Reset: SF-Integer #delta-seconds
RateLimit-Policy: SF-List

... and many more!
Extensibility via RateLimit-Policy

RateLimit-Limit: 10
RateLimit-Remaining: 6
RateLimit-Reset: 3

optional field with policy details and comments. Has Parameter registry.

RateLimit-Policy 10;w=5, 80;w=60;comment="bar"

10 units every 5 seconds AND 80 units every 60 seconds
Technical choices

- **#60** support **only delta-seconds** (no ntp skew & adjustment issues) like **Retry-After**
- **#35** Use Structured-Fields
- flexible semantics to express dynamic policies, sliding windows and concurrency limits
- don't mention infrastructural concepts like connections

https://github.com/ietf-wg-httpapi/ratelimit-headers/pulls?q=is%3Apr+is%3Aclosed
Changes from -04

● #87 Added privacy considerations
● #103 No x-prefix in parameters
● #97,#98 Ignore malformed and cached fields
● #79 separate quota policies from limit
● Significant editorial refactoring, including referencing RFC9110

https://github.com/ietf-wg-httpapi/ratelimit-headers/pulls?q=is%3Apr+is%3Aclosed
Open Issues before WGLC

- **#105** No trailers: no one uses them for rate limiting. Defer to future specs.
- **#65** Field names and combination proposes to replace the 3 basic fields to one field for conciseness

RateLimit: limit=10, remaining=5, reset=60
Field names and combination

Replace the 3 basic fields to one field for conciseness

RateLimit: limit=10, remaining=5, reset=60

Editors tried to accommodate this request (ML thread) but finally decided to not support this change. Motivations include:

- all platforms and middlewares decided to use separate, integer-valued fields for ratelimit, so there is no operational experience on providing/consuming this information in combined form;
- when in this I-D we combined different information in the same field, (e.g. in RateLimit-Limit) we were asked to separate them;
- SF parser are not available in current off-the-shelf tools like httpd/nginx or API gateways, and we do not advise processing non-bare-item SF with regexp
FAQ

Q: Are we inventing a new service management model?

A: No. We just standardize headers semantic for the many who *already* use this pattern.

Q: Why don't use timestamps for RateLimit-Reset?

A: Timestamps *require* NTP on both sides. NTP in the real world is hard (skew, adjust, IoT, ...). We like Retry-After too ;)

Thanks!
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