BGP Extended Community for Identifying the Target Nodes

draft-dong-idr-node-target-ext-comm-05

Jie Dong, Shunwan Zhuang @Huawei

Gunter Van de Velde @ Nokia

IDR WG IETF 114 Meeting July 2022

History and Updates

Presented at IETF#101/109 and got good feedback from WG

- Thanks people below for their comments and suggestions
 - Zhenbin Li, Ercin Torun, Jeff Haas, Robert Raszuk, John Scudder and Ignas Bagdonas etc.
- All of the received comments have been addressed
- Enable RR to configure local-policy to reflect the Updates to the matched BGP peers.

>Added texts on security considerations

Thanks to John Scudder for the useful comment

A

An example to show the problem – Why Not Use RT

 \geq If RT is used to designate the target node B

• Both RT *Node-B* and RT *RED* are carried in BGP Update

Node A advertises a VPN FlowSpec route targeted

- Both node B and node D would import the VPN route to VRF RED - The purpose of the user is to prevent node D from importing such route.
- This document proposes a generic mechanism to designate the target nodes for information advertised using BGP
 - Independent from the use of RT

at VRF RED on node B



imported to VRF RED

How to prevent node D from importing routes that do not belong to it?

Proposed Solution

>A new BGP extended community to carry the target node information

• Node Target extended community (NT)



• Target BGP Identifier: 4-octet unsigned, non-zero integer to identify a BGP node

One or more Node Target extended communities may be carried in BGP Update



Comments and feedbacks are welcomed

► Request for WG Adoption

Thank You