

# IETF Chair and IESG Report

## IETF 114

This report, sent out before IETF 114 begins, provides more details than can be covered during the plenary. If you have topics that you think the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG) should discuss during the plenary, [please send email \(iesg@ietf.org\)](mailto:iesg@ietf.org) or ask the question during the IESG open mic session.

This report covers:

- [IETF 114](#)
- [Meeting sponsors](#)
- [Hackathon](#)
- [Working Group actions](#)
- [Currently running experiments](#)
- [RFC 8989 NomCom Eligibility Experiment](#)
- [Public archive of AUTH48 conversations](#)
- [BOF deadline schedule experiment](#)
- [Creation of an "important-news" announcement list](#)
- [Appeals](#)
- [IESG Statements](#)
- [Our standards for professional behavior](#)
- [Keeping up with the IESG](#)

In addition, there are typically several other reports posted prior to the meeting: the [IAB Report](#) and the [IETF Administration LLC Board Report](#). The IANA, Secretariat, and Temporary RFC Series Project Manager reports can be found under the IETF Plenary on [the meeting materials page](#) (materials to be supplied later).

## IETF 114

IETF 114 will be a hybrid meeting, [as announced](#), meaning that both in-person participation in Philadelphia and remote participation are possible. We still expect a larger than usual fraction of the participants to be remote, compared to pre-pandemic meetings.

A [detailed agenda for IETF 114](#) is available. At this meeting, working group sessions will begin at 14:00 UTC each day. A [participant guide](#) is available, and the [meeting FAQ](#) answers many practical questions related to in-person and remote participation. Participants interested in new topics – experienced and new participants alike – can consult the blog post on [suggested IETF 114 sessions for getting familiar with new topics](#).

IETF 114 is the second hybrid IETF meeting and we are still refining the use of the Meetecho conferencing platform in new ways. For example, in-person participants must use Meetecho (or

the recent Meetecho-lite version for mobile devices) to sign virtual blue sheets, join the microphone queues, and participate in any chair-initiated polls. You may want to [get familiar with Meetecho](#) before the meeting. Please send your feedback about the meeting tooling to [tools-discuss@ietf.org](mailto:tools-discuss@ietf.org).

## Meeting sponsors

Our Meeting Hosts are **Comcast** and **NBCUniversal**. Thank you!



Also thanks to Diversity and Inclusion Gold Sponsors **Akamai** and **Cisco**, Diversity and Inclusion Silver Sponsor **Huawei**, Diversity and Inclusion Bronze Sponsors **Comcast** and **Verisign**, and Sustainability Gold Sponsor **Oracle Cloud Infrastructure**. Also thanks to Running Code Bronze Sponsor **CNNIC** and the Connectivity Sponsors **Comcast**, **Hurricane Electric**, and **Netrality**. Thank you for helping make the Internet work better!

## Hackathon

The IETF 114 [Hackathon](#) is being held Saturday and Sunday, July 23 and 24, 2022 in Philadelphia, PA, USA. The Hackathon is free to attend and is open to everyone. It is a collaborative event, not a competition. Any competition is friendly and in the spirit of advancing the pace and relevance of new and evolving Internet standards.

The IETF tools team also colocated their regular Codesprint with the Hackathon, as an experiment to see if being in the same room as the Hackathon provided synergies and opportunities. Also, for the first time, a “content sprint” was held, with the goal of accelerating the migration of content from the old trac wiki system to the new wikijs system.

## Working Group actions

The following changes have occurred since IETF 113:

### New Working Groups that were approved:

- [Source Address Validation in Intra-domain and Inter-domain Networks \(savnet\)](#)

- [Transfer dIGital cREdentialS Securely \(tigress\)](#)

#### Working Groups that rechartered:

- [Remote ATtestation ProcedureS \(rats\)](#)
- [Stay Home Meet Occasionally Online \(shmoo\)](#)

#### BOFs at IETF 114:

- [JSON Web Proofs \(jwp\)](#)
- [Media Over QUIC \(moq\)](#)
- [Multicast Source Routing over IPv6 \(msr6\)](#)
- [Secure Asset Transfer Protocol \(satp\)](#)
- [Supply Chain Integrity, Transparency, and Trust \(scitt\)](#)
- [Stub Network Auto Configuration for IPv6 \(snac\)](#)

#### Working Groups that concluded:

- [Privacy Enhanced RTP Conferencing \(perc\)](#)
- [RADIUS EXTensions \(radext\)](#)
- [Security Automation and Continuous Monitoring \(sacm\)](#)

#### New non-WG mailing lists:

- [Complaint Feedback Loop Address Header \(cfbl\)](#)
- [Distributed Ledger Technologies \(DLT\) Networking \(dlt-networking\)](#)
- [Event Streaming General Protocol over the Internet \(event-streaming\)](#)
- [More Instant Messaging Interoperability \(mimi\)](#)
- [Post Quantum Cryptography \(pgc\)](#)

## Currently running experiments

In general, the IESG would like to encourage experimenting and trying new things. If you have ideas about potentially useful things to try, try them and tell the rest of us! Below are brief summaries of the currently running experiments.

### RFC 8989 NomCom Eligibility Experiment

On Oct 8, 2021, the [IESG communicated its decision](#) to prolong the RFC8989 experiment for a second and final year. On Jul 9, 2022, the [IESG provided additional clarifications](#) on that extension of the RFC 8989 experiment. In short, the IESG has decided to

1. to count participation in either in-person or online components of hybrid meetings during the 2022-23 NomCom cycle towards establishing RFC8989 “path” 1 NomCom eligibility

2. To instruct the Tools team to correct a bug and restore accurate attendance information in the database to correctly take session attendance into account for “path 1” eligibility, as RFC8989 intended

Please see the [IESG announcement](#) for additional context.

## BOF deadline schedule experiment

For IETF 114, the IESG scheduled additional time between the BOF submission deadline and the BOF approval deadline to provide time for area directors to work with BOF proponents to refine their proposals. If you have feedback on this scheduling change, please send it to [iesg@ietf.org](mailto:iesg@ietf.org).

## Appeals

There have been no appeals to the IESG since IETF 113.

## IESG Statements

There have been no IESG Statements since IETF 113.

## IESG Announcements

- [2022-07-09: Clarifications on the IESG decision to continue the RFC8989 experiment](#)
- [2022-05-09: Public archival of AUTH48 communications for IETF-stream RFCs](#)

## Our standards for professional behavior

IETF meetings, virtual meetings, and mailing lists are intended for professional collaboration and networking, as defined in the [IETF Guidelines for Conduct](#), the [IETF Anti-Harassment Policy](#), and the [IETF Anti-Harassment Procedures](#). If you have any concerns about observed behavior, please talk to the [Ombudsteam](#), who are available if you need to confidentially raise concerns about harassment or other conduct in the IETF.

We also expect civil behavior on all our mailing lists, including the [ietf@ietf.org](mailto:ietf@ietf.org) discussion list. There are multiple mechanisms to help list discussions stay focused and appropriate.

# Keeping up with the IESG

The IESG formal telechat calls are open for observation. [The upcoming agenda](#) is posted online and an invitation is mailed out to the IETF main announcement list in advance of each telechat. [The calendar and connection details](#) are also available. We post both short and narrative [minutes](#). And of course, the best place to follow what is going on with a particular document is the [datatracker](#). The relevant actions such as significant comments from the IESG continue to be automatically emailed to the relevant WG mailing list.

During the IETF week, the ADs of several areas hold “office hours”, during which community members can drop in to chat about any related topic they may have. Please check the [meeting agenda](#) for times. Outside the office hours, all ADs are open to discussion: never hesitate to contact them even for a simple chat.

The IESG continues to work on increasing transparency. We encourage feedback on what aspects need improvement. One item we’d like to call out is the availability of detailed information about each AD’s document processing in the datatracker at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/ad/<first>.<last>>, e.g., <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/ad/lars.eggert>. While this has been available for some time, community feedback seems to indicate that this is not well-known. An ongoing tools team project will provide an aggregate view of these statistics at the IESG level.