

Integrity of In-situ OAM Data Fields

In-situ OAM Deployment

[draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-data-integrity-02](#)
[draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-deployment-01](#)

IETF 114, IPPM WG
July 29, 2022

Integrity of In-situ OAM Data Fields

[draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-data-integrity-02](#)

Frank Brockners, Shwetha Bhandari, Tal Mizrahi, Justin Iurman

IETF 114, IPPM WG
July 29, 2022

Status

- Document is “pretty stable” by now
- -02 updates:
 - Reference to [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data] replaced by [RFC9197]
 - Reference to [I-D.ietf-detnet-security] replaced by [RFC9055]
 - Decapsulating node and Validator were merged
 - Editorial changes
 - (For details see <https://github.com/inband-oam/ietf/pull/252>)
- Ongoing implementation effort by the University of Liege

Next Steps

- Feedback on implementation (by early September)
- ... then consider WGLC?

In-situ OAM Deployment

[draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-deployment-01](#)

Frank Brockners, Shwetha Bhandari, Daniel Bernier, Tal Mizrahi

IETF 114, IPPM WG
July 29, 2022

Status

- Stable since IETF 112
- -01 includes BIER reference (published after IETF 113)
- WGLC?