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Motivation

What?

• The inclusion of the algorithms selected by NIST’s PQC project into X.509 certificates.
  • A focus on Dilithium as NIST has stated it is the primary algorithm to be implemented and it has well balanced performance.
• This is aimed at the description of “pure” (i.e., non-composite/hybrid) certificates.
• Think of this as RFC 3279 for NIST’s PQ Signature algorithms. The I-D provides the conventions and syntax for putting the algorithm identifiers and parameters into certificates.
Motivation

Why Now?

• We are seeing industry interest in scoping certificates with PQ resistance. These are applicable in industry sectors that rely on a long-term root of trust that may be embedded within a device or difficult to re-issue.
  • Automotive industry (car ECUs).
  • Heavy machinery.
  • IoT devices (streaming media players) that may sit on a shelf in a warehouse for years before being turned on for the first time.

• NIST has now announced the quantum-secure signature algorithms for standardization (Dilithium, SPHINCS+, FALCON), adoption will take time.

• Aligns with LAMPS charter to “adopt draft for PQC signatures in PKIX certificates”.

• As with the PQ hybrid TLS 1.3 draft, the intention is for this to be ratified after 2024 that NIST will have specified the algorithms.
Goals

• Define data structures for the use of quantum-safe Dilithium signatures algorithms in X.509.
• Clean and concise specification for implementers (e.g. parameters hardcoded in OID).

Non-Goals

• Selecting which post-quantum algorithms to use in X.509.
• Defining OIDs (NIST will do this for us).
Key Discussion Points

• How many algorithms per draft?
  • Multiple algorithms can bloat sections of the standard, particularly if they are built on completely different mathematical systems.

• Which Security levels of each algorithm to include?
  • Everything NIST standardizes? One OID per algorithm, or per algorithm + security level?

• Would love to hear from you for review and feedback.