


Msr6 BoF @ IETF 114



asking "How?" before "Should we?" since 1986

Note Well



- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
 - The IETF plenary session
 - The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
 - Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
 - Any IETF working group or portion thereof
 - Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
 - The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
 - The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 8179](#).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 8179](#) for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

This session is being recorded

IETF 114 Meeting Tips

In-person participants

- Make sure to sign into the session using the Meetecho (usually the “Meetecho lite” client) from the Datatracker agenda
- Use Meetecho to join the mic queue
- *Keep audio and video off if not using the onsite version*
- **Wear masks unless actively speaking at the microphone.**



Remote participants

- Make sure your audio and video are off unless you are chairing or presenting during a session
- Use of a headset is strongly recommended

Agenda

- Administrativa: Chairs [**5 minutes**]
- MSR6 Use Cases and Requirements [**45 minutes**]
 - MSR6 Use Cases draft-liu-msr6-use-cases:
 - Jiang Tianji/Aijun Wang/Feng Yang [**15 minutes**]
 - MSR6 TE Requirement:
 - Gyan Mishra [**15 minutes**]
 - Requirement & Design Considerations:
 - Toerless Eckert [**15 minutes**]
- MSR6 Proposed Solutions [**20 minutes**]
 - MSR6 BE Solutions (draft-lx-msr6-rgb-segment, draft-xl-msr6-source-segment):
 - Yisong Liu/Mengxiao Chen [**10 minutes**]
 - MSR6 TE Solutions
 - (draft-eckert-msr6-rbs, draft-geng-msr6-traffic-engineering, draft-geng-msr6-rlb-segment, draft-chen-pim-mrh6):
 - Huaimo Chen [**10 minutes**]

Agenda(2)

- Open Mic/Discussions [**20 minutes**]
- Charter Discussion [**15 minutes**]
- Conclusions and Next Steps: Chairs and AD [**15 minutes**]

BoF Goals

- The goal of this BOF is to demonstrate that the community has agreement that:
 - there is a problem that needs solving, and the IETF is the right group to attempt solving it.
 - there is a critical mass of participants willing to work on the problem (e.g., write drafts, review drafts, etc.).
 - the scope of the problem is well defined and understood, that is, people generally understand what the WG will work on (and what it won't) and what its actual deliverables will be.
 - there is agreement that the specific deliverables (i.e., proposed documents) are the right set.
 - it is believed that the WG has a reasonable probability of having success (i.e., in completing the deliverables in its charter in a timely fashion).

Pre-Questions

- Does the community think that the problem statement is
 - clear
 - well-scoped
 - solvable
 - useful to solve

Questions

- Who is willing to author/contribute/edit documents?
- Who is willing to review documents?
- Do we need a new working group to solve these problems?
- Is there support to form a WG with the proposed charter?
 - (assuming any discussed charter revisions will be discussed and made)
- Is there anyone who feels a WG should not be formed?
 - Please speak up