

# RSWG

...

IETF 114

# Note Well (I)

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

# Note Well (II)

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- [BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)
- [BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)
- [BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- [BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)
- [BCP 78](#) (Copyright)
- [BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/>(Privacy Policy)

# Agenda

- Scope and acceptance criteria
- Group logistics
- Review of existing topic proposals
- AOB

## Our charter

“The RFC Series Working Group (RSWG) is the primary venue in which members of the community collaborate regarding the policies that govern the RFC Series.”

– RFC 9280

# Group Logistics

## 3.2.2. Workflow

The following process shall be used to formulate or modify policies related to the RFC Series:

1. An individual or set of individuals generates a proposal in the form of an Internet-Draft (which must be submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [[BCP78](#)] and [[BCP79](#)]) and asks the RSWG to adopt the proposal as a working group item.
2. The RSWG may adopt the proposal as a working group item if the chairs determine (by following working group procedures for rough consensus) that there is sufficient interest in the proposal; this is similar to the way a working group of the IETF would operate (see [[RFC2418](#)]).
3. The RSWG shall then further discuss and develop the proposal.

# When should we take on work? A proposal

- The topic is about policy
- There is enthusiasm to work on the topic
- We think we can get consensus on a solution
- We have a proposal that seems like a good starting point

All of these are decided by rough WG consensus

# Group Logistics

- Already seeing a number of issues raised
- Need some kind of issue tracker
  - Issues looking for a draft
  - Issues within existing drafts
- Proposal: use GitHub for issue tracking
- Discussion would remain on the list



## Existing topic proposals (I)

- Document the relationship between RFCs [Hoffman]
- Updating/Versioning RFCs [Carpenter]
- RSWG list versus RFC-Interest [Carpenter]
- Status UNKNOWN [Carpenter]
- Stream Legacy [Carpenter]
- BCPs on the IAB stream [Carpenter]

## Existing topic proposals (II)

- Can RFCs be on >1 stream [Carpenter]
- What should be in RFC Email Announcements [Carpenter]
- Author ethics [Carpenter]
- Who is in charge of format evolution [Carpenter]
- Errata trolls [Carpenter]
- Note well text [EKR]

All other business