

# Source Address Validation Using BGP UPDATES, ASPA, and ROA (BAR-SAV)

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-sriram-sidrops-bar-sav-00>

Kotikalapudi Sriram, Igor Lubashev, and Doug Montgomery

Email: [ksriram@nist.gov](mailto:ksriram@nist.gov) [ilubashe@akamai.com](mailto:ilubashe@akamai.com) [doug@nist.gov](mailto:doug@nist.gov)

IETF-114 – SAVNET – June 2022


# The Problem

Much interest in the community to improve Source Address Validation (SAV) to reduce **unauthorized source address spoofing**:

- 2000 – BCP 38: “Networks shall filter out invalid traffic on ingress”
- 2004 – RFC 3704: “Networks can filter traffic on router interfaces using ...”
  - ACLs – Manually maintained, *so unwieldy and gets stale fast*
  - Strict RPF – Symmetrical routing only, *so cannot be used by most non-trivial networks*
  - Feasible Path RPF – No route filtering (announce all routes to everyone), *so better but still no*
  - Loose RPF – Lets everything but Martians though, *so very ineffective*
- 2020 – RFC 8704: “Better Feasible Path RPF using paths with common origin AS”
  - EFP-uRPF Alg A – At least one path w/ the same origin AS is Feasible for the Interface
    - Can still block legitimate traffic if AS announce no prefixes to the ISP*
  - ... + ROA – Also use paths with the same origin AS learned from ROA (not just from BGP)
  - EFP-uRPF Alg B – At least one path w/ the same origin AS is Feasible for any *Customer* Interface
    - Can permit too much, since Customers can spoof each other’s addresses*
- 2022 – Savnet BoF at IETF-113 and WG session at IETF-114

# The Problem: RFC 8704 still blocks too much

- P2 is *not* detected by RFC 8704  
Alg. A or Alg. B




## Why is this so hard?

- We are trying to infer data-plane forwarding information from a BGP signal designed for a different purpose (reachability information).


# The Problem: CDN using DSR

## Anycast/Edge Hybrid – Direct Server Return

1. Anycast POPs lookup “best” edge POP for each new connection (using the actual user IP)
2. Anycast POPs tunnel packets to edge POPs
3. Edge servers send data to users directly – Direct Server Return (DSR)



# The Problem: Direct Server Return



# Use Cases

- CDN Anycast/Edge Hybrid
- Mobile Roaming
- Low-Latency Gaming
- Traffic Scrubbing Center of a Security Provider
- Central Datacenter of a Multinational Corporation

# The Problem: Some Stats

Percentage of networks doing SAV (by Akamai's estimates):

- 2015 – 15%
- 2022 – 15%

Why?

- Could be economics, but even most smaller networks do not filter
- Likely SAV today is either ineffective or just breaks too many things
  - Is EFP-uRPF (RFC 8704) just too recent, and it will gain use in ~5 years?  
Or Alg. A is seen as too risky (blocks too much), and Alg. B is too loose?

# Requirements for a Solution

- Improved fidelity – reduced improper block and improper permit
- Incrementally deployable – offers immediate benefits to early adopters
- Economical – benefits outweigh the costs (especially for early adopters)
- Network effect – late movers are feeling greater pressure to adopt
- Friendly to smaller networks – SAV is done best at the edge

# BAR-SAV (BGP, ASPA, ROA - SAV)


- An improvement on EFP-uRPF Alg. A [RFC 8704]
  - Improved BGP AS\_PATH processing (make use of all ASes, not just origin AS)
  - Makes complementary use of BGP UPDATEs, ASPAs, and ROAs

New Draft: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-sriram-sidrops-bar-sav-00>
- BAR-SAV advances the technology for SAV filter design
  - ✓ Significantly improves the ability to detect hidden prefixes
  - ✓ Provides a solution to the CDN/Direct Server Return (DSR) problem
- No changes to any protocol on the wire
  - Offers immediate benefits to early adopters




# Goal: Construct Permissible Ingress Prefix List for SAV (at AS7)

The methodology is the same for a Customer or Lateral (i.e., non-transit) Peer\* Interface



# SAV Using BGP UPDATE, ASPA, and ROA (BAR-SAV)

## Construction of Permissible Ingress Prefix List for SAV (at AS7)




- Iteratively obtain the set of ASNs in the Customer's customer cone (CC) using "customer-of" and "previous-AS" relationships in ASPAs and AS\_PATHs.
- Gather all prefixes in ROAs associated with the ASNs found in Step A.
- Gather all prefixes in BGP UPDATE messages with originating ASN among ASNs found in Step A.
- Combine sets found in Steps B and C. Keep only the unique prefixes. This is the permissible prefix list for SAV for the interface in consideration.

# Refined Version of Algorithm A of EFP-uRPF [RFC 8704]

## Incorporated into BAR-SAV

- P2 is *not* detected by RFC 8704 Alg. A or Alg. B
- P2 *is* detected by BAR-SAV




EFP-uRPF = Enhanced Feasible Path uRPF

- Much better detection of “Hidden” prefixes in multihoming scenarios by BAR-SAV

# Content Delivery Network (CDN) Application

Example of how the BAR-SAV method solves the CDN DSR blocking problem




# Backup slides

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-sriram-sidrops-bar-sav-00>

The next 4 slides illustrate the details of  
how BAR-SAV works

## How BAR-SAV Works

Finding All ASes and Prefixes in Customer's (or Peer's) Customer Cone  
Using BGP Announcements (as seen at AS4), ASPA, and ROA



## Finding All ASes in the CC using BGP AS\_PATH and ASPA

## INPUTS

## ASPA's:

AS3 {AS4}

AS3 {AS9}

AS5 {AS1}

AS6 {AS1}

AS8 {AS2}

## ROAs:

P2a AS2

P5 AS5

P6 AS6

P8 AS8

## BGP UPDATE AS\_PATHs:


## Interface in Consideration: AS3

P6 [AS3 AS1 AS6]

P7 [AS3 AS1 AS7]

## Other Interfaces:

P2 [AS9 AS3 AS2]



## OUTPUT

Iteration	Customer Cone	New ASes from ASPA	New ASes from AS_PATH
1	AS3	None	P6 [AS3 <u>AS1</u> AS6] → AS1 P7 [AS3 <u>AS1</u> AS7] → AS1 P2 [AS9 AS3 <u>AS2</u> ] → AS2
2	AS3, AS1, AS2	AS5 {AS1} → AS5 AS6 {AS1} → AS6 AS8 {AS2} → AS8	P6 [AS3 AS1 <u>AS6</u> ] → AS6 P7 [AS3 AS1 <u>AS7</u> ] → AS7
3	AS3, AS1, AS2, AS5, AS6, AS8, AS7	None	None



# Finding All Prefixes in the CC using BGP Routes and ROA

## INPUTS

### ASPsAs:

AS3 {AS4}  
AS3 {AS9}  
AS5 {AS1}  
AS6 {AS1}  
AS8 {AS2}

### ROAs:

P2a AS2  
P5 AS5  
P6 AS6  
P8 AS8

### BGP UPDATE AS\_PATHs:


Interface in Consideration: AS3  
P6 [AS3 AS1 AS6]  
P7 [AS3 AS1 AS7]  
Other Interfaces:  
P2 [AS9 AS3 AS2]

### Customer Cone

AS1, AS2, AS3, AS5, AS6, AS7, AS8

## OUTPUT

ASN	Prefixes from ROA	Prefixes from BGP
AS1		
AS2	( <u>P2a</u> AS2) → P2a	<u>P2</u> [AS9 AS3 AS2] → P2
AS3		
AS5	( <u>P5</u> AS5) → P5	
AS6	( <u>P6</u> AS6) → P6	<u>P6</u> [AS3 AS1 AS6] → P6
AS7		<u>P7</u> [AS3 AS1 AS7] → P7
AS8	( <u>P8</u> AS8) → P8	




### SAV Prefixes

P2, P2a, P5, P6, P7, P8

# SAV Using Only ASPA and ROA (Procedure X)

## Construction of Permissible Ingress Prefix List for SAV (at AS7)



When ASPA and ROA adoption is ubiquitous (in the future)

Or an ISP may use Procedure X on customer interfaces if it requires all its customers to register ROAs and ASPAs

- Obtain the set of ASNs in the Customer's customer cone (CC) using ASPAs by transitively discovering customers of the customer or lateral peer in consideration.
- Gather all prefixes in ROAs associated with the ASNs found in Step A. Keep only the unique prefixes.
- The set computed in Step B is the permissible prefix list for SAV for the interface in consideration.

# Help from ASPA Data to Clean-Up Anomalies in AS\_PATH Data

## ASPA:


AS3 {AS4}  
AS8 {AS10}

**AS8 has an ASAP, but AS2 is **not** its provider.**

- BAR-SAV refuses to infer AS8 as a customer of AS2 from BGP AS\_PATH.
- Therefore, AS8 and AS5 are **not** in AS3's Customer Cone
- Therefore, P5 is **not** in SAV list for AS3's interface.

## Note:

- Since the leaked route made it to AS4, BAR-SAV *will* infer that AS2 is a customer of AS9, and AS5 is a customer of AS8.
- Since ASPA deems the route "Invalid", AS4 should not accept the leaked route for forwarding to P5.



## At AS4's interface with AS3:


Visible in ASPA  
and AS\_PATH



Visible only  
in AS\_PATH




Not visible in ASPA  
(indirectly visible in  
AS\_PATH)



# A Note on Customer Cone Computation

- One should *not* compute a customer cone by separately processing ASPA data and AS\_PATH data and then merging the two sets of ASes at the end. Doing so is likely to miss ASes from the customer cone.
- Instead, both ASPAs and AS\_PATHs should be used to iteratively expand the discovered customer cone. When new ASes are discovered, both ASPA and AS\_PATH data should be used to discover customers of those ASes. This process is repeated for newly discovered customer ASes until there are no new ASes to be found.



# Detailed Procedure X

Creating the Permissible Prefix List for SAV for a Customer or Lateral Peer using only ASPA and ROA

1. Let the Customer or Lateral Peer ASN be denoted as AS-k.
2. Let  $i = 1$ . Initialize: AS-set  $S(1) = \{AS-k\}$ .
3. Increment  $i$  to  $i+1$ .
4. Create AS-set  $S(i)$  of all ASNs whose ASPA data declares at least one ASN in AS-set  $S(i-1)$  as a Provider.
5. If AS-set  $S(i)$  is null, then set  $i\_max = i - 1$  and go to Step 6. Else, go to Step 3.
6. Form the union of the sets,  $S(i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, i\_max$ , and name this union as AS-set A.
7. Select all ROAs in which the authorized origin ASN is equal to any ASN in AS-set A. Form the union of the sets of prefixes listed in the selected ROAs. Name this union set of prefixes as P-set.
8. Apply P-set as the list of permissible prefixes for SAV.

Note: Algorithm X is for future use when the deployment of ASPA and ROA is ubiquitous.

# Detailed Description of the BAR-SAV Procedure

1. Let the Customer or Lateral Peer ASN be denoted as AS-k.
2. Let  $i = 1$ . Initialize: AS-set  $Z(1) = \{AS-k\}$ .
3. Increment  $i$  to  $i+1$ .
4. Create AS-set  $A(i)$  of all ASNs whose ASPA data declares at least one ASN in AS-set  $Z(i-1)$  as a Provider.
5. Create AS-set  $B(i)$  of all “non-ASPA” customer ASNs each of which is a customer of at least one ASN in AS-set  $Z(i-1)$  according to unique AS\_PATHs in Adj-RIBs-In [RFC4271] of all interfaces at the BGP speaker computing the SAV filter. “Non-ASPA” ASN are ASNs that declare no provider in ASPA data.
6. Form the union of AS-sets  $A(i)$  and  $B(i)$  and call it AS-set C.  
From AS-set C, remove any ASNs that are present in  $Z(j)$ , for  $j=1$  to  $j=(i-1)$ . Call the resulting set  $Z(i)$ .
7. If AS-set  $Z(i)$  is null, then set  $i_{\max} = i - 1$  and go to Step 8. Else, go to Step 3.
8. Form the union of the AS-sets,  $Z(i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, i_{\max}$ , and name this union as AS-set D.
9. Select all ROAs in which the authorized origin ASN is in AS-set D. Form the union of the sets of prefixes listed in the selected ROAs. Name this union set of prefixes as Prefix-set P1.
10. Using the routes in Adj-RIBs-In of all interfaces, create a list of all prefixes originated by any ASN in AS-set D. Name this set of prefixes as Prefix-set P2.
11. Form the union of Prefix-sets P1 and P2. Apply this union set as the list of permissible prefixes for SAV.