

# CoRE: target attribute registry

November 7, 2022 (Monday), 13:00–15:00 UTC  
draft-bormann-core-target-attr-01.txt

**RFC 6690 (link-format):**

# target attributes

RFC 6690 defines registries for **values** of `rt=` and `if=`, but not attribute names themselves -- free reign.

Base spec RFC 5988 ("Web linking") says:

This specification does not attempt to coordinate their names or use, but does provide common target attributes for use in the Link HTTP header.

So RFC 6690 did not, either.

# RFC 8288

RFC 8288 replaces RFC 5988, now says:

Those creating and maintaining serialisations SHOULD coordinate their target attributes to avoid conflicts in semantics or syntax and MAY define their own registries of target attributes.

So, let's finally do this!

# **new sub-registry for Target Attributes**

## **in the CoRE Parameters registry**

policy: "expert review" (Section 4.5 of RFC 8126).

Instructions to Designated Expert:

- be frugal in allocation of "very short" (?) names
- "specification desired"
- `[a-z][-a-z0-9]*`

# pre-fill

- href, anchor, rel, rev: reserved (not useful as target attribute names)
- hreflang, media, title: Defined by RFC 8288 (Web Linking)
- rt, if, sz: RFC 6690 (link-format), ct: RFC 7252 (CoAP)
- obs: RFC 7641 (observe), jct: RFC 8075 (http mapping)
- osc: FRC 8613 (oscore)
- method, csuite, cred\_t, idcred\_t, ead\_1, ead\_2, ead\_3, ead\_4:  
draft-ietf-core-oscore-edhoc ([Race to completion!](#))
- comb\_req sec-gp app-gp hkdf cred\_fmt sign\_enc\_alg sign\_alg,  
sign\_alg\_crv sign\_key\_kty sign\_key\_crv alg ecdh\_alg ecdh\_alg\_crv,  
ecdh\_key\_kty ecdh\_key\_crv det\_hash\_alg rekeying\_scheme:  
draft-tiloca-core-oscore-discovery (probably to be registered there)

# next steps

- adopt
- WGLC
- profit