

MEDIAMAN @IETF 115

8 November 2022 15:00

This session is being recorded

IETF 115 London
hosted by



Internet Engineering Task Force
© 2022 IETF Trust
Production by Meetecho



Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:


- [BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)
- [BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)
- [BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- [BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)
- [BCP 78](#) (Copyright)
- [BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/>(Privacy Policy)

This session is being recorded

IETF 115 Meeting Tips

In-person participants

- Make sure to sign into the session using the Meetecho (usually the “Meetecho lite” client) from the Datatracker agenda
- Use Meetecho to join the mic queue
- *Keep audio and video off if not using the onsite version*
- **Wear masks unless actively speaking at the microphone.**



Remote participants

- Make sure your audio and video are off unless you are chairing or presenting during a session
- Use of a headset is strongly recommended

Resources for IETF 115 London

- Agenda
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/agenda>
- Meetecho and other information:
<https://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/115/preparation>
- If you need technical assistance, see the Reporting Issues page:
<http://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/issues/>

Today's agenda

- Introduction, scribe, agenda bash (5 min)
- Principles for new Top Level Types (10 min)
 - draft-ietf-median-toplevel
- Top level type “haptics” (Muthusamy) (10 min)
 - draft-ietf-median-haptics
- Multiple suffixes for media types (Sporny) (10 min)
 - draft-ietf-median-suffixes
- Revision of registration procedures (Nottingham) (15 min)
- Wrapup, action items, followup (10 min)

Standard Questions

For each draft:

- Who has read the draft?
- Who supports going to WG Last Call at this time?
- Who thinks there are issues to be resolved before WG Last Call?

draft-ietf-mediamaan-toplevel

Changes since last IETF:

- [Transferred repo](#) to mediamaan
- [-01 version published](#)
- Some [issues raised](#):
 - [Criteria for registration need to be decided](#)
 - [Effects of registering a top level type need to be described](#)
 - [Do we want a IANA registry of top-level types](#)
 - [Where to put background/justification info](#)
 - [Should we use SHOULD and friends?](#)

draft-ietf-mediawan-haptics

Separate presentation

draft-ietf-mediamaan-suffixes

- Changes since last IETF
 - [Transferred repo](#) to mediamaan
 - [-02 version published](#) (with some tracker fixes)
 - A few new reviews w/ [raised issues](#)

draft-ietf-mediawan-suffixes

- Who has read the draft?
 - Not many new: Mark Nottingham, Harald Alvestrand, Roberto Polli, Ted Thibodeaux
- Who supports going to WG Last Call at this time?
 - Not until Mark and Ted's concerns have been addressed
- Who thinks there are issues to be resolved before WG Last Call?
 - Issues exist that need new spec text
 - Probably need one last VCWG/DIDWG review before LC

Registration procedures

Do they need to change?

If so, how should they be changed?

Who volunteers to propose those changes?

Wrapup, action items, followup