Resource oriented DAP API

Tim Geoghegan PPM - IETF 115 - London

DAP-02 HTTP API surface

- GET [aggregator]/hpke_config[?task_id={task-id}]
- POST [leader]/upload
- POST [helper]/aggregate
- POST [helper]/aggregate share
- POST [leader]/collect
- GET {leader collect URI}
- DELETE {leader collect URI}

DAP-02 HTTP API surface

- GET [aggregator]/hpke_config [?task_id={task-id}]
 POST [leader]/upload
 POST [helper]/aggregate
 POST [helper]/aggregate_share
- POST [leader]/collect
- GET {leader collect URI}
- DELETE {leader collect URI}



DAP-02 API problems

- API paths are sometimes verbs, sometimes nouns
 - Should use HTTP methods as verbs acting on resources
- Most of the API is non-idempotent POST requests
- Unclear how aggregate sub-protocol participants are meant to recover from faults
- Servers need to partially parse request bodies to extract task ID
 - o e.g. to find out what VDAF or query type is in use to then parse the rest of the message

Resource	Supported by	Required methods	Relative path
HPKE configuration	Leader, helper	GET	/hpke_config[?task_id={task-id}]
Report	Leader	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/reports/{report-id}
Aggregation job	Helper	PUT, POST, DELETE	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregation_jobs/ {aggregation-job-id}
Aggregate shares	Helper	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregate_shares
An aggregate share	Helper	GET, DELETE	Implementation defined
Collections	Leader	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/collections
A collection (job?)	Leader	POST, DELETE	Implementation defined

Resource	Supported by	Required methods	Relative path
HPKE configuration	Leader, helper	GET	/hpke_config[?task_id={task-id}]
Report	Leader	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/reports/{report-id}
Aggregation job	Helper	PUT, POST, ← DELETE	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregation_jobs/ {aggregation-job-id}
Aggregate shares	Helper	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregate_shares
An aggregate share	Helper	G	
Collections	Leader	- I JI	Creating an aggregate job is idempotent => PUT Advancing it to the next round changes state => POST
A collection	Leader	PC	

Resource	Cupported	Daguirad	Relative path
Aggregation job ID assigned by leader HPKE col			/hpke_config[?task_id={task-id}]
Report	Leader	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/reports/{report-id}
Aggregation job	Helper	PUT, POST, DELETE	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregation_jobs/ {aggregation-job-id}
Aggregate shares	Helper	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregate_shares
An aggregate share	Helper	GET, DELETE	Implementation defined
Collections	Leader	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/collections
A collection	Leader	POST, DELETE	Implementation defined

Resource	Cupparted	Daguirad	Relative path
HPKE col	e handler construct	s resource URI	hpke_config[?task_id={task-id}]
Report	Leader	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/reports/{report-id}
Aggregation job	Helper	PUT, POST, DELETE	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregation_jobs/ {aggregation-job-id}
Aggregate shares	Helper	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/aggregate_shares
An aggregate share	Helper	GET, DELETE	Implementation defined
Collections	Leader	PUT	/tasks/{task-id}/collections
A collection	Leader	POST, DELETE	Implementation defined

Hopefully an easy migration

Action	DAP-02 API	New API
Upload report	POST [leader]/upload	PUT [leader]/tasks/{task-id}/reports/{report-id}
Initialize aggregate job	POST [helper]/aggregate with AggregateInitReq	PUT [helper]/tasks/{task-id}/aggregation_jobs/ {aggregation-job-id}
Continue aggregate job	POST [helper]/aggregate with AggregateContinueReq	POST [helper]/tasks/{task-id}/aggregation_jobs/ {aggregation-job-id}
Initialize aggregate share	n/a	PUT [helper]/tasks/{task-id}/aggregate_shares
Get aggregate share	POST [helper]/aggregate_share	GET {helper aggregate share URI}
Initialize collect	POST [leader]/collect	PUT [leader]/tasks/{task-id}/collections
Get collect result	GET {leader collect URI}	GET {leader collect URI}
Delete collect result	DELETE {leader collect URI}	Same

Open questions and next steps

- Needs more analysis to show that error recovery works
- Does it makes sense to align aggregate share resource with collection resource?
- Collection resource is awkward
 - o Is "collection" the right resource? Is "collection job" or "query" better?
 - We are trying to design one API to accommodate time interval and fixed batch queries. Is this a good goal?
- HTTP and API design experts: please share your critiques of the proposal
 - https://github.com/ietf-wg-ppm/draft-ietf-ppm-dap/pull/367