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WHY RADIUS?

- If Diameter exists, why do people still use RADIUS?
  - RADIUS is “good enough” for most purposes
- Diameter equipment is $$$, RADIUS is $
- Diameter is used in 3G/4G/etc.
  - RADIUS is used in WiFi, enterprise, university, Eduroam, OpenRoaming, ISPs
- Diameter is simply *not a choice* for most situations
WHAT’S WRONG WITH RADIUS?

‣ Other than “almost everything”

‣ Security

‣ Scalability

‣ Features

‣ MD5. Enough said.

‣ 8-bit IDs are very 1993

‣ Credit control, kicking users
WHAT NOW?

- Patch it. No one wants a new protocol.
- Minor changes to code
  - (1K LoC, not 100K LoC)
- Work within existing operational models
- Fix security issues.
- Backwards compatible
CURRENT PROPOSALS

- Move RADIUS/TLS and RADIUS/DTLS to “Standards” track
- Deprecate RADIUS/UDP and RADIUS/TCP
- Help roaming operators (best practices, ping, traceroute, roam routing)
- RADIUS without MD5
- Extend the 8-bit ID space
- reverse CoA to work around NAT / FW issues
IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

- RADIUS/TLS and RADIUS/DTLS
- RADIUS without MD5
- Status-Realm
- Extended ID
- Reverse CoA
  - Change of Authorization

- Widely implemented and used
- on GitHub, ~2K patch
- on GitHub, ~1K patch
- nothing
- Shipping ~1yr in Aruba, Cisco, and FR
NEXT STEPS

› Questions?
Deprecate UDP
DEPRECATE RADIUS/UDP AND RADIUS/TCP

- MD5 has been cracked.
  - Given a RADIUS packet, a hobby attacker can crack all 8-character shared secrets in a short period of time.
- Sensitive data such as device information, personal location is sent in the clear
- Just use TLS.
- Mandate TLS-PSK
- Add text around TLS missing from RFC 6614.
SRADIUS
SECURE RADIUS - SRADIUS

- A new transport protocol for RADIUS
- Requires TLS, and changes packet signing to not use MD5
  - User-Password etc. are encoded as strings, protected by TLS.
  - Message-Authenticator is ignored
- CHAP, MS-CHAP, etc. can still be transported
- Mandates TLS 1.3 and TLS-PSK.
SRADIUS - REUSING AUTHENTICATOR

- 16-octet unused field in the packet header
- Add 64-bit request / reply token (extended ID)
- Add flag saying “Require secure transport for this packet”
- Implemented in GitHub branch. ~2K diff
Extended ID
EXTENDED ID

- Just use Authenticator as unique ID for RADIUS packets
  - It’s already globally / temporally unique!
- Needs replies to contain Original-Request-Authenticator attribute
- Lots of text around negotiation and signalling
- Not implemented
  - Maybe just use SRADIUS?
Reverse CoA
REVERSE COA

- NAS is unreachable due to FW / NAT, so sending CoA is impossible
- But... we have a RADIUS/TLS connection from NAS -> server!
  - Let’s just use that
- Local network
  - Server magically “knows” what the NAS is based on TLS session information
  - Perhaps use NAS-Identifier, etc. from Status-Server to correlate with CoA
PROXYING REVERSE COA

- Just use Operator-Realm as per RFC 8559
- Server magically “knows” what the realm is based on TLS session information
- Or via static configuration
- Other than that, pretty much everything is just
  - “RFC 5176 and RFC 8559, but using inbound RADIUS/TLS connections”
- Implemented and shipping in Aruba, Cisco, FreeRADIUS