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Why Do We Need Multiple Addresses?

Just any ordinary host:

A single-prefix network: link-local + stable +privacy + 464XLAT = 4

Two-prefixes (multihoming or renumbering): 7

ChromeOS: the current requirement is 7-9 addresses per device

Future Use Cases: SIGCOMM CCR Paper (see PANRG talk)

RFC7934: “it is RECOMMENDED that IPv6 network deployments provide multiple IPv6 addresses from each prefix to general-purpose hosts. To support future use cases, it is NOT RECOMMENDED to impose a hard limit on the size of the address pool assigned to a host.”
Reality: Wireless APs and Switches

- hardcoded and low limits on the number of IPv6 addresses/MAC
- No indication that the limit is reached
- Unpredictable behaviour when the limit is reached

Some guidance and recommendations might be needed
Tactical Fixes: draft-linkova-v6ops-ipmaclimi

**Problem:** hardcoded limits on the number of IPv6 addresses/MAC

**Fix:**
- Higher default limits
- Configuration knob

**Problem:** no indication that the limit is reached

**Fix:** send syslog/telemetry event

**Problem:** unpredictable behaviour when the limit is reached (not LRU)

**Fix:** use LRU
What to Do Long-term?

Question:

Can we make everyone equally unhappy?

Allow multiple addresses per host w/o network scalability issues?

Answer: /64 per host.

All host global addresses are off-link (no ND)

1 route per host (scalable)

Addresses fate sharing (better failure mode)

Simplified forensics/troubleshooting (all addresses in /64 owned by device)

See draft-collink-v6ops-ent64pd (submitted on Nov 11, 2022)