Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF’s patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
IETF 116 Meeting Tips

In-person participants
- Make sure to sign into the session using the Meetecho (usually the “Meetecho lite” client) from the Datatracker agenda
- Use Meetecho to join the mic queue
- Keep audio and video off if not using the onsite version
- Wear masks unless actively speaking at the microphone.

Remote participants
- Make sure your audio and video are off unless you are chairing or presenting during a session
- Use of a headset is strongly recommended
6man Administrivia

- Jabber Room, Zulip
  6man@jabber.ietf.org
- Meetecho and other information:
  https://meetings.conf.meetecho.com/ietf113/?group=6man
- Minutes:
  https://notes.ietf.org/notes-ietf-116-6man
  Minutes taker: TBD
  Jabber Scribe RFC7649: N/A
- Presentations:
  https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/ietf116/session/6man
Introduction

- Introduction, Agenda Review, and Document Status, Chairs, 15 min.

Working Group Drafts

- Carrying Virtual Transport Network (VTN) Information in IPv6 Extension Header, draft-ietf-6man-enhanced-vpn-vtn-id, Jie Dong, Robin Li, 15 min
- Improving the Robustness of Stateless Address Autoconfiguration (SLAAC) to Flash Renumbering Event, draft-ietf-6man-slaac-renum, Fernando Gont, 20 min.
- Limits on Sending and Processing IPv6 Extension Headers, draft-ietf-6man-eh-limits, Tom Herbert, 15 min.
- IPv6 Hop-by-Hop Options Processing Procedures, draft-ietf-6man-hbh-processing, Gorry Fairhurst, Bob Hinden, 15 min.
Individual Drafts

- Signalling DHCPv6 Prefix Delegation Availability to Hosts, [draft-collink-6man-pio-pflag](https://www.ietf.org/rfc/draft-collink-6man-pio-pflag), Lorenzo Colitti, Jen Linkova, 10 min.

If Time Allows

Published RFCs:

RFC 9343  IPv6 Application of the Alternate-Marking Method
(was draft-ietf-6man-ipv6-alt-mark)

RFC Editor Queue:

Submitted to IESG:

draft-ietf-6man-rfc6874bis-05  Representing IPv6 Zone Identifiers in Address Literals
and Uniform Resource Identifiers
    In IESG evaluation, two DISCUSSes, ongoing discussions

In WG Last Call:

draft-ietf-6man-sids-02  Segment Identifiers in SRv6
    Waiting for Spring to address issues in Spring C-SID draft
Working Group Documents:

draft-ietf-6man-slaac-renum-05
Improving the Robustness of Stateless Address Autoconfiguration (SLAAC) to Flash Renumbering Events

draft-ietf-6man-eh-limits-02
Limits on Sending and Processing IPv6 Extension Headers

draft-ietf-6man-enhanced-vpn-vtn-id-03
Carrying Virtual Transport Network (VTN) Information in IPv6 Extension Header

draft-ietf-6man-hbh-processing-06
IPv6 Hop-by-Hop Options Processing Procedures

draft-ietf-6man-icmpv6-ioam-conf-state-00
IPv6 Query for Enabled In-situ OAM Capabilities
Collaboration with other WGs

- Other WGs’ effort might require 6MAN involvement
- 6MAN WG prefers to be involved after the other WG reaches some level of consensus, such as:
  - adoption call
  - confirmation from the WG chairs