

IPv6 Hop-by-Hop Options Processing Procedures	E	т	F®
<draft-ietf-6man-hbh-processing-06></draft-ietf-6man-hbh-processing-06>			
Bob Hinden Gorry Fairhurst			
March 2023 IETF 116			

Overview



- Changes from -04 to -05 draft
- Changes from -05 to-06 draft
- Next Steps



Changes -04 to -05 draft

Editorial:

- Made a definition for "Full Forwarding Rate" !
- Noted *potential reordering* when forwarded over different processing paths.
- "Nodes SHOULD process the Hop-by-Hop Option header. If the node does not process the Hop-by-Hop Option header, it MUST forward the packet normally."
- "Straight forward to process. That is, new Hop-by-Hop options SHOULD be designed to ensure the node can process the options at the full forwarding rate (e.g., on the router's Fast Path)."
- Editorial improvements by editors and others.



Changes -05 to -06 draft

Editorial:

- Added REF to RFC6564 (discussed in RFC8200).
- Fixed references.

Usefulness of HBH Options



- Some paths do support HBH Options.
- However, many currently drop packets with a HBH EH
- Limiting the size of the EH improves traversal.
- draft-ietf-6man-hbh-processing would seem to help.

See IEPG talks at IETF-115, IETF-116 for more details





- EH Limits drafts should continue to define total EH limits
- We did not see more comments on HBH Processing
- WGLC?



QUESTIONS / COMMENTS?

https://github.com/ietf-6man/hbh-processing/issues