# A Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) of DNS Messages

draft-lenders-dns-cbor-02

Martine S. Lenders (m.lenders@fu-berlin.de), Carsten Bormann, Thomas C. Schmidt, Matthias Wählisch IETF 116 CBOR Meeting, 2023-03-30 Motivation

Objectives and Definition

Progress

Next Steps

## Motivation: DNS in Constrained Networks

Packet size exceeds 802.15.4 PDU depending on queried name length

#### $\Rightarrow$ Fragmentation



DNS over CoAP (draft-ietf-core-dns-over-coap) messages for different name lengths

## Motivation: DNS in Constrained Networks



DNS over CoAP (draft-ietf-core-dns-over-coap) messages for different name lengths

Reduce packet sizes of DNS queries and replies:

- 1. Encoding of DNS messages in CBOR
- 2. Omit (redundant) DNS fields in DNS queries and responses
- 3. Address and name compression using packed CBOR (optional)

### Changes to DNS+CBOR Draft Since IETF 115

Addressing feedback from Carsten Bormann (now a co-author):

 Remove definition of applicaton/dns+cbor-packed, now application/dns+cbor;packed=1

Addressing feedback from Ben Schwartz & Vadim Goncharov (thanks!):

- + Extensibility: Resource records may now also be represented as pure bstr
  - Clarify that fallback to application/dns-message MUST always possible

More changes:

• Queries, like responses, now also array of arrays to allow for inclusion of additional and authority section (for OPT records)

- + Discuss format decisions for Packed CBOR
- Clarify that compression algorithm for Packed CBOR is up to the implementation

TBD:

- + Provide and compare examples for compression algorithms
- + Addressing Vadim Concharov's feedback: Provide comparison DNS wire-format vs. CBOR vs. Packed CBOR

- Implementation and in-depth evaluation of DNS+CBOR
- Define more details on using packed CBOR:
  - Explore potential for global compression contexts/implied table entries
  - $\cdot$  (Your thoughts.)