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Why

- BMP Loc RIB (RFC 9069) provides a view on Loc-RIB
  - Peer-address field in per-peer header is zero-filled
    - You don’t know which peer gave you the paths you put in Loc-RIB
    - Requires effort when digging in the data, especially in multi-path/add-path scenarios
  - Unfortunate as BMP implementations may have the information
- We’d like to allow to provide this information
How

- Current draft opens two options
  - Allow the peer-address to be non zero-filled
    - Backward compatibility...
    - Default behaviour remains zero-filled, cfg to enable the behaviour
  - Use draft-ietf-grow-bmp-tlv
    - TLV type "Peer-Address TLV" to be reserved
    - Ignored by collectors who can’t recognize it by design
What's next

- Both options are easy, this wg should decide which option(s) we follow
- wg doc?