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## These are not new WG documents ...

They replace draft-ietf-idr-te-Isp-distribution (a WG document since 2014) which covered advertisement of various types of TE Policies/LSPs via BGP-LS
draft-ietf-idr-bgp-Is-sr-policy

SR Policy Advertisement via BGP-LS
draft-ietf-idr-bgp-Is-te-path

MPLS-TE LSPs and Local LSP
Cross-connects Advertisement via BGP-LS

## Reminder on why the split ...

- When poling with WG, the authors realized that
- There are existing/ongoing implementations for SR Policies
- No response received for MPLS-TE LSPs thus far
- IDR WG requires two implementations before publication
- Splitting the draft will enable progression of portions being implemented


## draft-ietf-idr-bgp-Is-sr-policy

## Next Steps

- Request feedback/inputs from implementers and operators
- Expecting further updates based on feedback
- IANA Code Point allocations pending for some TLVs
- Will be requested once updates are done
- Preparing Implementation Reports
- Will be shared on the list once the content is complete


## draft-ietf-idr-bgp-Is-te-path

## Next Steps

- Please share with the WG/authors if you are aware of any existing or planned implementations
- Updates based on feedback
- IANA Code Point allocations pending for some TLVs
- Do we need to request allocation without implementation plans?

