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Verify the proofs against the latest hash posted on the bulletin board; alert users if proofs do not check out.

Clients get cryptographic proofs of correctness.

Service holds public identity keys for every client device.

Verify the proofs against the latest hash posted on the bulletin board; alert users if proofs do not check out.

Service holds public identity keys for every client device.

Clients Devices

Public Bulletin Board

Post TreeHeads periodically.

Auditble Key Directory Service
Periodic publish

Public Bulletin Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Username</th>
<th>Public Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…</td>
<td>$t_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Username</th>
<th>Public Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…</td>
<td>$t_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Username</th>
<th>Public Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…</td>
<td>$t_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Service Provider (SP)
Registration and Update

**Bob**

Register/Update device public key with username.

**Alice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Username</th>
<th>Public Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Service Provider (SP)**
Lookup contact’s key

Bob
Alice's most recent public key?

Service Provider (SP)

Alice

pk_{A, \Pi}

Verify

Public Bulletin Board

\{ t_1 ; h_{t_1} \}
\ldots
\{ t_3 ; h_{t_3} \}
Monitor own key

Bob

Key history?

Service Provider (SP)

Alice

Public Bulletin Board

$\{t_1; h_{t_1}, \ldots, t_3; h_{t_3}\}$

Verify

$(pk_B, t_1), (pk'_B, t_2), \Pi$
Goals of this talk

• Decide on the important security and privacy properties of a KT system
  • Correctness
  • Consistency
  • Privacy
Assumption: Dissemination of TreeHeads

• Bulletin Board: Disseminates TreeHeads through a third-party Bulletin Board

• Gossip Channel: Dissemination of TreeHeads happen through gossip among the participants (comparing TreeHeads directly with each other)
Consistency Properties

• Bob’s latest key: ⬆️

• When Alice queries for Bob’s latest key, she sees a fake key: ⬇️

• When and Who will detect this consistency?
Correctness

• If the Service Provider is honest and user Alice is honest, another user Bob will always receive the correct key for Alice
Consistency Properties (cont.)

• Only the owner of the key (Bob) will be able to authoritatively decide that was a fake key.

• So, at least 2 checks needs to happen:
  
  • Alice needs to ensure that the key they are seeing is committed by the server in the latest tree head
  
  • Bob needs to see this key distributed on their behalf while auditing for their own key
Strong Consistency

- Bob detects the inconsistency the first time they come online since the distribution of the fake key and audits their key history

Weak Consistency

- Bob cannot detect the inconsistency the first time they come online since the distribution of the fake key and audits their key history
- Additional checks need to be performed (by Alice or other parties) for this to be detected
- Either Alice or Bob will be able to detect it after Bob audits their own key history and the additional checks are performed by the other parties
Contact-Statefulness

- Each client can either remember the last keys, version numbers and possibly other auxiliary information for their contact’s keys or not. We define a client to be contact-stateful if they remember any information about their contacts’ keys in their states.

- We define the clients to be contact-stateless if they do not have to remember any information about their contact’s keychain.
Owner-Signing

• If a malicious server publishes a tree head at a certain time and compromises a user’s device some time after that, the server still should not be able to have clients who hold that tree head accept any keys that the user’s device did not authorize before being corrupted.

• This property is orthogonal to the consistency properties.
Privacy

• Content Hiding:
  • Hides public keys and usernames from unauthorized parties
  • Achieved using Verifiable Random Function (VRF) and Commitments

• Metadata Hiding
  • Also, hides information such as when each user first registered in the KT, when and how often their keys change, correlations between multiple updates, etc.
Post Compromise Security

• Healing from a compromise of the server’s VRF secret key.

• Guarantees that privacy is regained for newly added directory entries after the compromise, once the server rotates their VRF key after the compromise.
Deployment Modes

• 3\textsuperscript{rd} Party Management Mode (3PM):
  • TreeHeads are disseminated through a trusted third party (or a quorum) who audits the TreeHeads for consistency before distributing them.
  • In terms of the academic literature on KT, this mode is equivalent to having a trusted auditor who pre-emptively audits the TreeHeads.

• 3\textsuperscript{rd} Party Auditing Mode (3PA):
  • The auditor can audit the TreeHeads with a lag
  • This mode leads to eventual detection rather than preemptive detection of misbehavior