

Stabilizing the handshake format #107

```
SETUP Parameter {  
    Parameter Key (i),  
    Parameter Value Length (i),  
    Parameter Value (...),  
}
```

Can we live with this?

```
Client SETUP Message Payload {  
    Number of Supported Versions (i),  
    Supported Version (i) ...,  
    SETUP Parameters (...) ...,  
}
```

```
Server SETUP Message Payload {  
    Selected Version (i),  
    SETUP Parameters (...) ...,  
}
```

Track as independent component of the data model #109

Should moq focus on “delivering tracks and conceptual grouping of tracks can be built atop of it.”?

- Track Ids to be fully specified within on Subscribe and Publish Requests
- Allows tracks to specify authorization scope for its namespace.
- Control Streams/Messages mapped to Subscribe/Publish of tracks
- Allow tracks to grouped for identification or other purposes in application specified way

Prioritization schemes needs flexibility #110

Warp Draft-04 has only send order, and inherits bundle prioritization based on [WebTransport #102](#) discussion

moqt has a different scheme that Christian presented yesterday

Mo's comment on list: Perhaps we should de-prioritize priority discussion

Chair Opinion: No consensus at this time. The Working Group will likely continue experimenting with different schemes for some time

Relay behavior needs to be specified #112

What should the draft say about relays? Issue suggests

- How to use tracks to identify the origin
- Describe policy enforcement behavior
- Describe how to provide appropriate congestion response
- non-normatively describe implications of protocol design options (bundles/tracks)