

RFC8366bis voucher

draft-ietf-anima-rfc8366bis

was ADOPTED: January 2022

Michael Richardson,

(+Kent Watsen, Qiufang Ma, Max Pritiken, Toerless Eckert)

IETF 116


ANIMA Working Group

RFC8366bis: The Plan from Before

- ~~1) get draft adopted (occured Jan. 2022)~~
- 2) get agreement on whether are trying to get to Internet Standard now (or not)
- ~~3) revised document to include IANA maintained YANG module for enumerated registry~~
- 4) collect other voucher extensions into document?
may conflict with (2)


What was the problem with What we Had Before

At First I Was Afraid I Was Petrified




Then we made it worse: ANIMA-BRSKI-PRM

Kept thinkin' I could never live without augment by my side




What if we wanted constrained PRM?

And so you're back, from outer space




How Augment Really Works

Go on now, go, augment out the door




CORE-SID situation worse

Weren't you the one who tried to hurt me with CBOR?




CORE-SID – use sx:structure, no augment

Weren't you the one who tried to hurt me with CBOR?




CORE-SID – use sx:structure, no augment

Weren't you the one who tried to hurt me with CBOR?




CORE-SID – use sx:structure, no augment

Weren't you the one who tried to hurt me with CBOR?




CORE-SID – use sx:structure, no augment

Weren't you the one who tried to hurt me with CBOR?




CORE-SID – use sx:structure, no augment

Weren't you the one who tried to hurt me with CBOR?



CORE-SID – use sx:structure, no augment

Weren't you the one who tried to hurt me with CBOR?



collect other voucher extensions into one document

- Current state of draft -
ietf-anima-rfc8366bis
- Pull Request not yet
merged
- YANG removed from other
documents

DISCUSSION

- Was there another path?
- Your IDEA HERE