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SPIFFE is a set of platform-agnostic specifications defining the documents and interfaces necessary for a federated workload identity scheme.

... and SPIRE is an open source SPIFFE implementation that is designed to run in a multitude of computing environments and platforms.

SPIFFE does:

- Solve secure introduction
- Work in highly elastic and distributed environments
- Support (very) short-lived credentials
- Automate key rotation from root down

SPIFFE does not:

- Solve authorization
- Reason about human identities
- Reason about or rely on network locators (e.g. DNS names)
- Invent or define new documents
... and why should you care?

- SPIFFE uses standard X.509 and JWT documents
  - SPIFFE calls these SVIDs (SPIFFE Verifiable Identity Documents)
- ... but SPIFFE is different
  - Trades revocation for a short-lived, online, highly rotational key infrastructure
  - Provides not just identity credentials to workloads, but also trust bundle(s)

- SPIFFE and OAuth have overlapping problem space
  - SPIFFE != OAuth, but two sides of same identity coin
  - Shared problems (e.g. uncontrolled token propagation)
  - Interop (e.g. SPIFFE to bootstrap OAuth Client)

(this is why we are here)
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7 Wonders Use Cases of the Workload
Identity World
Use Case 1: Access workloads in another domain

- Workload 1 needs to access Workload A, which may not be using SPIFFE
Use Case 2: No SPIFFE client registration

- Workloads spin up and down dynamically, and new identities issued in response
- SPIFFE carries identity - how can SPIFFE client AuthN to OAuth Authorization Server w/o registration
- SPIFFE keys rotate frequently .. how does OAuth Authorization server track and validate SPIFFE identities
Use Case 3: Preserve Call Stack Identities

- A resource server may be implemented as multiple micro-services.
- Every micro service needs an assertion of the user identity for authZ and audit
- Every micro service also needs the identity of the service calling it
- Sometimes, a micro service needs the identity of N-* service calling it
Use Case 4: Protect against token theft

- Workload and user tokens transit service graph
- How can these tokens be bound to the request at hand?
- Important for SPIFFE to avoid expensive network calls
Use Case 5: Fine(r) Grained Authorization

- SPIFFE/SPIRE produces trustworthy data as part of the attestation/issuance process
- Not currently captured, but highly valuable - can be used to build e.g. ABAC
- How do we convey this data for consideration at the PDP?

```
default allow = false
allow {
    input.caller.ldevid == "foo.bar"
    input.initiator.region == "eu-west-1"
    input.initiator.sha == "abcd1234"
}
```
Use Case 6: Inter-domain (meta)data distribution

- SPIFFE supports federation ~similar to OIDC
- Extra information needed to complete federation configuration
- How can we distribute this information to ease the creation and maintenance of federation relationships
- Information could be endpoint coordinates, or bundle data itself

Name: eu.acme.prod
Profile: https_web
URL: https://eu.acme.net/spiffe/bundle.json

Name: ads.hooli
Profile: https_spiffe
URL: https://hooli.com/ads/spiffe-bundle.json
Bootstrap: <bundle_content>
Use Case 7: Monitoring and remediation

- SPIFFE/SPIRE attestation data can be regularly published
- SPIFFE AuthN logs can also be published
- Together, they provide a strong risk signal on workloads and related infra
- Possible to trace from workload ID all the way down to h/w
- Send signals to SPIFFE/SPIRE & others to remediate suspected compromises
  (e.g. isolate the node, update authZ to reject stolen identities, etc)
Use Case *

• ... and many more!
• What comes to your mind?
Next Steps?

• Document uses cases

• Identify existing OAuth standards to profile/extend
  • OAuth 2.0 Dynamic Client Registration:
  • OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server Metadata:
  • OAuth 2.0 DPoP:
  • OAuth MTLS:
  • OAuth JWT Bearer Flow
  • OAuth Rich Authorization Request
  • Others?

• Create “OAuth Profile for SPIFFE Best Current Practice” Draft?

• Identify and align new standards work to fill gaps
APPENDIX
Topics to cover

• Introduce SPIFFE (focus on federation) – 5 min
• Use Cases Overview 15 min
  • Authentication/Front Door
  • Identity chaining
  • Federation uses cases
  • Dynamic registration
  • Metadata
  • Supply chain (Assertions)
  • Authorization decisions (Assertion)
• Strawman for the BCP – best current practice 5 min
  • JWT SVID
  • JWT X.509 (auth)
  • Dynamic Client Registration
  • Metadata
  • MTLS
  • DPoP
• New Work beyond BCP? (0 – minutes)
  • Identity chaining
  • Fine grained authorization
• Side Meeting
  • Double click on scenarios – explore assertion idea
  • SCITT