Why RFC6614bis? (Changes since -01)

Tasks:
- Update TLS versions and MTI cipher suites
  - → Ref to RFC9325 for TLS guidance and cipher suites
  - → TLSv1.3 is included as RECOMMENDED, not MANDATORY. (See Discussion on ML/Github)
- Add more text for TLS-PSK to use Radsec without PKI
  - → Most text should be in draft-dekok-radext-tls-psk
- Add possibility for Raw Public Keys
  - → Basic text is there, needs to be expanded.
Why RFC6614bis? (Changes since -01)

- Tasks:
  - Add Server Name Indication
    - → No text yet, needs to be added
  - <insert your needed update here>
    - Are there any further updates?

28.03.23
Discussion items

- Credential Sharing
  - Is it OK that a number of clients share one certificate/PSK?

- RFC 2119 Modifier for
  - TLS-PSK
    - REQUIRED or RECOMMENDED
  - TLS-Raw Public Key
    - RECOMMENDED or OPTIONAL
    - Maybe even REQUIRED, now that OpenSSL has support for it?
Discussion items and next steps

- Handling certificate checks for Dynamic Peer Discovery
  - RFC7585 suggests using subjectAltName:naiRealm. Does anyone use it?
  - DNS Discovery may yield a hostname, use this if there is no naiRealm present in the certificate?

- RFC7585 is also still experimental, Downref or 7585bis?
  - 7585 also has 1 Erratum in status „Held for document update“

- Ready for WG adoption yet? (Maybe one more iteration as individual draft, adoption call in 1-2 months)
Discussion/Questions?
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