

IN-SIGHT.it

Large Language Models in Standards Discourse Analysis

Effy Xue Li x.li3@uva.nl University of Amsterdam

March 2023

Table of contents

- Large language models
- Named Entity Recognition (NER) with Bert in Emails
- Knowledge Graph (KG) extraction with GPT-3
- Limitations & Concerns

Large Language Models

Introducing ChatGPT	
We've trained a model called ChatGPT which interacts in a conversational way. The dialogue format makes it possible for ChatGPT to answer follow up questions, admit its mistakes	
challenge incorrect premises, and reject inappropriate requests.	
Try ChatGPT > Read about ChatGPT Plus	



bert model	× 🎍 Q	chatgpt	x 🌷 Q
Q All 🔚 Images 🕞 Videos 🗉 News 🎦 Books 🗄 More	Tools	Q All 🗉 News 🖬 Images 🕩 Videos 🖺 Books 🗄 More	Tools
About 196.000 results (0,32 seconds)		About(85.500.000) results (0,25 seconds)	

RQ: How can we use it for standards discourse analysis?

BigBang Package

Toolkit for studying communications data from collaborative projects.





Bigbang dashboard

NER in Emails

- Bert-base model
- Fine-tuned with CEREC [1]

I would say the latter of the two I started linalg months ago and Travis O PER put a lot of effort into over the last several weeks I am not really familiar with we are really focusing on ATLAS ORG because it is so dang fast on most platforms It does not provide a full LAPACK ALLCAPS though so you have to merge it with another LAPACK ALLCAPS to get everything If you can figure out how to write a generic interface not to hard but only partially documented in linalgdocsmore _ notes then have at it The actual fpy interfaces are generated from a python script The more interfaces the merrier but the compatibility issue has to be addressed On Unix MISC we could use nm to check if the function is there On windows it are not so easy Maybe it should just be an optional function for now ie defaults to being commented out for the widest compatibility eric

[1] CEREC: A Corpus for Entity Resolution in Email Conversations

Top 10 frequent entities

- We quantitatively extract the Top 10 frequent entities for each type.
- Sample mailing list: 3gv6

Top 10 occurence for type: LOC

	entity	counts
0	San Francisco	9
1	USA	3
2	Shanghai	2
3	China	2
4	Anaheim	1
5	Tower Hui Hui Deng denghuigmailcom	1
6	Vista level	1
7	Vista Room at the Hilton San Francisco The Vis	1
8	Vista level of Tower	1
9	the Vista Room at the Hilton San Francisco The	1

Top 10	occurence	(pronouns	excluded)	for	type:	Ρ

	entity	counts
0	Teemu	20
1	Cameron	15
2	Jari	11
3	Dan	10
4	Jouni	9
5	Cameron Byrne	9
6	David Crowe	9
7	Brian	8
8	Julien	7
9	Dan Wing	6

<= Extracted person entities align with sender-receiver analysis from meta data.

PER

Top 10 frequent entities

Top 10 occurence for type: MISC

Top 10 occurence for type: ORG

Top 10 occurence for type: DIG

	entity	counts
0	Internet	4
1	Windows	2
2	RFC	1
3	Internet Protocol	1
4	MacOS	1
5	Windows OS	1
6	IGI	1

	entity	counts
D	UE	34
1	IETF	24
2	GPP	20
3	UEs	17
4	IPvonly	16
5	DS	9
6	PDN	8
7	RFC	8
B	IMHO	7
9	GPP FPC	7

	entity	counts
0	IPv	3
1	DHCPv	1
2	teemusavolainennokiacom	1
3	PGW	1
4	IHdpdGggREhDUFYIHNIcnZIciwgdGhIbiBaGVzZSBdgREh	1
5	ba sis	1
6	withIETFDocs	1
7	listA	1
8	STUNTURN	1
9	PNAT	1

Pros & Cons

Pros:

- Great quantitative tool for analyzing **email bodies** from large scale mailing lists.
- Extract information with types that users define.

Cons:

- Fine-tuning with labelled data makes results much better. But we don't have ...
- Fixed sets of types.
- Limited information.

Pros & Cons

Pros:

- Great quantitative tool for analyzing **email bodies** from large scale mailing lists.
- Extract information with types that users define.

Cons:

- Fine-tuning with labelled data makes results much better. But we don't have ...
- Fixed sets of types.
- Limited information.



Knowledge Graphs

- Definition: Network of real-world entities and their relations.
 - Entity extraction; relation extraction.
 - Multiple tasks needed.
- Challenges: Specialized domains.
 - Standards in different domains.
 - No unified schema.
- Applications.
 - Structured data.
 - Connected data.
 - Can be intervened on.



GPT-3

- Generative Pre-trained Transformers 3
- It is HUGE!
 - GPT-3 has 175 billion parameters. Bert has 110 million parameters.
 - 1,591 x larger than Bert! 100x larger than GPT-2.
- Prompt-engineering with OpenAl's APIs.
 - Task-agnostic.
 - No access to the underlying trained weights.
 - It costs money.

Knowledge Graph extraction with KGcreator and GPT-3



Knowledge Graph extraction with KGcreator and GPT-3

	Entity	Туре	
0	Travis O.	PERSON	
1	LAPACK	ORG	
2	LAPACK	ORG	
3	/linalg	GPE	

edge	target_attr	target	source_attr	source	
started	software	linalg	person	i	0
put_effort_into	software	linalg	person	travis o	1
focusing_on	software	atlass	person	i	2
merge_with	software	another lapack	software	lapack	3
write_interface	document	linalgdocsmore_notes	person	i	4
generate_from	programming_language	python	software	fpy	5
use	software	nm	operating_system	unix	6
not_easy	software	nm	operating_system	windows	7
optional_function	software	defaults	software	fpy	8

KGcreator[1]

GPT-3

[1] https://pypi.org/project/kgcreator/

Natural Language Prompt with One-shot Example

Extract all entities with types and their relations from texts:

John Doe works at Google.

Apple is located in Cupertino.

Results:

Entities:

Entity 1: John Doe Type: Person

Entity 2: Google Type: Company

Entity 3: Apple Type: Company

Entity 4: Cupertino Type: City

Relations:

works_at(person:john doe,company:google)

located_in(company:apple, city:cupertino)

Extract all entities with types and their relations from texts:

{Email body}

Results:

Limitation & Concerns

- Potential privacy and ethical issues.
 - We would like not to send our data to another company.
- It costs more when the amount of emails goes up.
 - For 2 million emails, it will cost ~17,900 USD.
 - It takes ~1 min for processing one API call.
- No control over the model.
 - The results are not deterministic.
 - No access to the underlying weights. No way to debug the model.

Future Directions

- Denoising results given constraints.
- Prompt optimisation.
- Local models that can achieve comparable performance with GPT-3.
 - GPT-3 as a labeler.
 - Hierarchical information extraction.

0 ...

Thank you!