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Updates

- detnet-oam-00
  - Specificities of radio networks
    - theoleyre-oam-02
      - April 2020
    - Définitions, taxonomies, approaches
      - theoleyre-oam-03
        - July 2020
      - theoleyre-oam-04
        - Oct 2020

- Generic

- WG adoption

- Generic

- ietf-oam-00
  - April 2021
    - delay, PREP removed, predictive maintenance
  - ietf-oam-01
    - May 2021
      - bidirect OAM, passive/active/in-band/out-of-band, p2p/p2m links, Xavi's comments
    - ietf-oam-02
      - June 2021
        - List of requirements
      - ietf-oam-03
        - January 2022
          - piggybacking
  - ietf-oam-04
    - March 2022
  - ietf-oam-05
    - Sept. 2022
      - Maintenance EndPoints terminology harmonized with detnet wireless only
  - ietf-oam-06
    - March 2023

Update - Terminology

• Maintenance EndPoint (MEP)
  • Entity that creates or reacts to OAM messages

• Maintenance Intermediate Point (MIP)
  • Entity that *responds* to OAM messages

• OAM Domain
  • Monitored domain (with MEPs on its edges)
Last but not least, radio links present volatile characteristics. If the wireless networks use an unlicensed band, packet losses are not anymore temporally and spatially independent. Typically, links may exhibit a very bursty characteristic, where several consecutive packets may be dropped because of, e.g., temporary external interference. Thus, providing availability and reliability on top of the wireless infrastructure requires specific Layer 3 mechanisms to counteract these bursty losses. Besides, Layer 3 has to be informed of the physical characteristics to make the right decision, and to avoid exacerbating physical issues (e.g., overloaded link because it became unreliable, overloaded radio channels).

- Interactions between L2 and L3
- Distinction RAW / generic (detnet)
  - « relevant for RAW-specific (aka wireless) segments »
- PREOF citation
  - I-D.pthubert-raw-architecture
- Anycast
  - « Anycast transmission typically exploit p2mp links »
Update - Clarification

• Now two categories for faults:
  1. Fault detection
  2. Fault Identification

• Delay estimation in wireless
  • Queuing, medium access & retransmissions
Update - Clarification

• Revamped the prediction subsection

5.2. Predictive maintenance

RAW needs to implement self-optimization features. While the network is configured to be fault-tolerant, a reconfiguration may be required to keep on respecting long-term objectives. Obviously, the network keeps on respecting the SL0 after a node’s crash, but a reconfiguration is required to handle future faults. In other words, the reconfiguration delay MUST be strictly smaller than the inter-fault time.

The network must continuously retrieve the state of the network, to judge about the relevance of a reconfiguration, quantifying:

*the cost of the sub-optimality: resources may not be used optimally (e.g., a better path exists);

*the reconfiguration cost: the controller needs to trigger some reconfigurations. For this transient period, resources may be twice reserved, and control packets have to be transmitted.

Thus, reconfiguration may only be triggered if the gain is significant.

5.2. Predictions

RAW needs to implement self-optimization features. While the network is configured to be fault-tolerant, a reconfiguration may be required to keep on respecting long-term objectives. The network must continuously retrieve the state of the network, to judge about the relevance of a reconfiguration. More precisely, the OAM mechanisms have to provide enough information to predict and quantify:

*the gain of the reconfiguration: what would be the network state after the reconfiguration (e.g., reduction of the bandwidth or energy consumption)?

*the reconfiguration cost: what is the cost (energy, bandwidth) to reconfigure the forwarding and management planes?

Wireless networks exhibit non linear dependencies among links / radio channels / technologies that complexify significantly such predictions.
Next Step

• Draft now stable

• Your comments, suggestions, questions always welcome and greatly appreciated

• WGLC?

Thank you!