

TTL mapping for EPP

Gavin Brown, Technical Fellow, CentralNic Group PLC

IETF 116, Yokohama, March 2023

Problem Statement

- Some TLD operators set long TTL values on delegations:
 - .er: 14 days(!)
 - .ru, .su, .moscow: 4 days
 - .com, .net, .uk: 2 days
- ...which prevents rapid rollback of changes
- ...which deters rollout of "risky" technologies i.e. DNSSEC
- Anecdotally, at least one FAANG operator would turn on DNSSEC if they could tune the TTL record on their delegation, so they can roll back quickly if there's a problem
- Providing a way for zone operators to set the TTL on their delegations in the parent zone seems useful

Delegation TTL survey

| TTL range | Number of TLDs |
|-----------|----------------|
| > 2d | 10 (1%) |
| = 2d | 244 (17%) |
| = 1d | 466 (32%) |
| 1h - 1d | 130 (9%) |
| = 1h | 409 (28%) |
| < 1h | 219 (15%) |

TTL extension for EPP

- Extends the <create>, <update> and <info> commands for domain and host objects
 - <ttl:secs>3600</ttl:secs> - specific value
 - <ttl:secs/> - no specific value, use server default
- Allows EPP clients to specify a TTL value to be applied to:
 - NS & DS records (for domain objects)
 - A & AAAA records (for host objects)
- Does not mandate server behaviour (server operators can set policy according to their own needs)
- Asks server operators to use the Change Poll extension to notify clients of OOB changes to TTL values

Examples

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
  <command>
    <update>
      <domain:update
        xmlns:domain="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:domain-1.0">
        <domain:name>example.com</domain:name>
      </domain:update>
    </update>
    <extension>
      <ttl:update>
        xmlns:ttl="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:ttl-1.0">
        <ttl:secs>3600</ttl:secs>
      </ttl:update>
    </extension>
    <clTRID>ABC-12345</clTRID>
  </command>
</epp>
```

Examples

```
?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
<epp xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:epp-1.0">
  <response>
    <result code="1000">
      <msg>Command completed successfully</msg>
    </result>
    <resData>
      <host:infData
        xmlns:host="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:host-1.0">
        <host:name>ns1.example.com</host:name>
        <host:roid>NS1_EXAMPLE1-REP</host:roid>
        <host:status s="linked"/>
        <host:addr ip="v4">192.0.2.2</host:addr>
        <host:addr ip="v4">192.0.2.29</host:addr>
        <host:addr ip="v6">1080::8:800:200C:417A</host:addr>
        <host:clID>ClientY</host:clID>
        <host:crID>ClientX</host:crID>
        <host:crDate>1999-04-03T22:00:00.0Z</host:crDate>
      </host:infData>
    </resData>
    <extension>
      <ttl:infData
        xmlns:ttl="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:ttl-1.0">
        <ttl:secs>3600</ttl:secs>
      </ttl:infData>
    </extension>
    <trID>
      <clTRID>ABC-12345</clTRID>
      <svTRID>54322-XYZ</svTRID>
    </trID>
  </response>
</epp>
```

Next steps

- How should this extension interact with the host attribute model?
- Do we need separate TTLs for separate RR types (NS, DS, A, AAAA)?
- WG adoption
- Implementations!

Feedback welcome!

Email: gavin.brown@centralnic.com

Mastodon: [@gbxyz@noc.social](https://noc.social/@gbxyz)