



SLURM for ASPA

<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-spaghetti-sidrops-aspa-slurm-00.html>

Job Snijders
job@fastly.com

Ben Cartwright-Cox
ben@benjojo.co.uk

Re-cap: what's SLURM?

RFC 8416 - *Simplified Local Internet Number Resource Management with the RPKI*

- An industry standard format to describe *filters* for RPKI ROAs & BGPsec Router Keys,
- and optionally *assert* synthetic ROAs or Router Keys

RFC 8416 obviously pre-dates ASPA



SLURM for ASPA design objectives

- Develop a new industry standard data format to facilitate *override procedures* for Validated ROA Payloads (VRPs), BGPsec public key materials, and Validated ASPA Payloads (VAPs)
- Find the lowest common denominator **all participating** RP/RTR implementations are willing to **fully** support
- Minimize any potential for confusion (operators needing overrides sometimes are in a panic)
- Incorporate [errata](#)



Non-goals

- Introduce timer support (think crontab)
- Flexible matching (like regular expressions)
- Giving operators “full control”
 - Operators requiring “full control” should download RP/RTR source code and a compiler – no joke
- Deviate from established format (no XML)
- Allow for optional undefined JSON members



Status

draft-spaghetti-sidrops-aspa-slurm

- **Not (yet)** a working group document
- Documents what's implemented in [StayRTR](#) (which is subject to change)

Feedback so far:

- Di Ma and Tim Bruijnzeels provided valuable comments and suggestions
 - What elements compose filters
 - Adhere to keyword naming conventions
 - ASPA Assertions to implicitly also be filters



Next steps

- Incorporate all non-controversial suggestions
 - Update StayRTR to adhere to new *-01*
- Team up with second implementation
 - *Multiple independent* implementations make for better specs (see [discussion](#) about running code)
- Call for working group adoption?

