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Draft maturity state

✓ All reviews accomplished and included.
  ✓ Simone's review completed
  ✓ “IANA Considerations” section reviewed and coordinated with IANA.

✓ Continued use of Linux reference implementation by multiple parties shows reliable protocol specification

  o Initiated discussion to move draft from EXP to PS track on TSVWG mailinglist
Main changes since IETF115 (-06 → -07)

Correct MP_PRIO figure to include prio value #164
Define clearly MP_CONFIRM's "List of confirmations" #173
Introduce CCID Framework #175
IANA review update 1 #186
IANA review update 2 #187
#170 nits and etc #176
Clarify what is out of scope #189
adapted wording in abstract/intro #165
Add error handling for specific suboptions #177
Example of concatenating MP options with multiple MP_HMACs #174

Full Changelog: draft-ietf-06...draft-ietf-07
ATSSS use case implementation with Xiaomi

Enhancement of presented interoperability tests at last IETF. MP-DCCP is now combined with new Linux Kernel DCCP tunnel implementation to provide Steering, Switching, Splitting to all services on a smartphone.

All Internet communication is tunneled via DCCP, which is split between the smartphone and the proxy via a 3GPP and a non-3GPP path using MP-DCCP.
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Seamless handover from non-3GPP to 3GPP path after access failure
AD's suggested at IETF 115 several different steps to change from EXP to PS. Authors selected Step 4b: 4b. Another would be a PS document with a section concurrency that says, in some way, implementers SHOULD NOT do this unless they know what they are doing, perhaps outlining how this can be dangerous if you don't understand your traffic, etc.

The authors therefore created a new Section 3.9 "Path Utilization Strategies" and posted it on the TSVWG mailing list for discussion, with Section 3.9.2 in particular addressing Step 4b.

3.9.2 Concurrent path usage
This method could be used to support a concurrent path utilization strategy, which allows multiple path resources to be aggregated for higher throughput. Compared to the path mobility strategy, the selection of DCCP flows is a per-packet decision and part of the multipath scheduling process which is out of scope of this specification. Concurrent path usage over the Internet can have implications. The choice of (coupled) congestion control, scheduler, and possible reordering function has performance and fairness consequences. Since this needs further investigation, it is recommended that concurrent path usage over the Internet SHOULD NOT be implemented. Concurrent path usage is also supported in the current Linux reference implementation [https://multipath-dccp.org/].
Summary

Final step seen by the authors:
• progress/completion of EXP->PS discussion

Questions to TSVWG community:
• What is needed to reach a final consensus on the proposed text for the new section 3.9 for EXP->PS? Is this something we can resolve today?
• Is there anything else we are missing before approaching WGLC?