


# BPF Document Logistics

Dave Thaler <dthaler@microsoft.com>

# Git workflow for BPF ISA doc today



# draft-thaler-bpf-isa-01

Current source files:

Source file type	Master copy location	Source File
Core	(Linux) kernel.org bpf-next	instruction-set.rst
Boilerplate (header, footer, acknowledgements, etc.)	Github	instruction-set-skeleton.rst
IANA Considerations	Github	instruction-set-opcodes.rst



# index : kernel/git/bpf/bpf-next.git

BPF next kernel tree

[about](#)

[summary](#)

[refs](#)

[log](#)

**tree**

[commit](#)

[diff](#)

[stats](#)

log msg

path: [root/Documentation/bpf/standardization](#)

Mode	Name	Size			
-rw-r--r--	index.rst	473	log	stats	plain
-rw-r--r--	instruction-set.rst	19658	log	stats	plain
-rw-r--r--	linux-notes.rst	3252	log	stats	plain

blob: 09c6ba055fd70148932c0d7ae3201dc13af1e4e7 (plain)

```
1  .. SPDX-License-Identifier: (LGPL-2.1 OR BSD-2-Clause)
2
3  =====
4  BPF Standardization
5  =====
6
7  This directory contains documents that are being iterated on as part of the BPF
8  standardization effort with the IETF. See the `IETF BPF Working Group`_ page
9  for the working group charter, documents, and more.
10
11 .. toctree::
12     :maxdepth: 1
13
14     instruction-set
15     linux-notes
16
17 .. Links:
18 .. _IETF BPF Working Group: https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/bpf/about/
```

# Process for contributing patches for such docs, and how to handle any copyright/IPR notices needed

- We aren't lawyers but understand we need to follow the IPR rules
  - <https://trustee.ietf.org/about/faq/>
  - <https://www.ietf.org/about/note-well/>
- Don't copy any existing code into such docs
- Does submitter implicitly adhere to both Linux and IETF submission rules? Or does conversion to I-D take text (under BSD license) and contribute to IETF document (under <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp78> and <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp79>)
- Who must Ack a patch before it gets merged? (proposal: one of the I-D authors/editors, unless they are patch submitter)
- Who can Nack a patch and prevent merging?

# Editors/authors/contributors/ acknowledgements

- Editor: manages the Internet Draft conversion process?
- Author: writes a significant percentage of text in a doc?
- Contributor: contributes a patch that is accepted?
- Acknowledged: reviews a patch and suggests changes that are accepted?

# Tracking of issues on documents

- Proposal: Use IETF github repo for issue tracking
- When is an issue closed?
  - a) When patch is merged?
  - b) When I-D is submitted?
  - c) When chairs confirm consensus on result?

# Other questions?