Instruction Set Extension Policy draft-thaler-bpf-isa

Dave Thaler <dthaler@microsoft.com>

1

WG Charter

- "The BPF working group is initially tasked with ... creating a clear process for extensions, ..."
- Discussed on list in thread "[Bpf] Instruction set extension policy"

Extensions via delta docs, not replacements

- More instructions will be added over time.
- Eventual inclusion in an RFC would be good.
- Propose RFC **per extension** (set of additions)
 - Need not Obsolete (or even Update) original ISA document
- But don't want to make additions wait for an RFC
- Proposal: allow referencing a non-RFC (e.g., Linux kernel tree file) in the meantime to get code points

Where should registry(s) be?

- a) IANA
- b) Files in Linux kernel tree

Policy for allocation is mostly orthogonal to where registry resides

Menu of IANA policies in RFC 8126

- Private Use
- Experimental Use
- Hierarchical Allocation
- First Come First Served
- Expert Review
- Specification Required
- RFC Required
- IETF Review
- Standards Action
- IESG Approval

URI Schemes precedent

<u>https://www.iana.org/assignments/uri-schemes/uri-schemes.xhtml</u>

Range I	Registration Procedures 🖾		
Permanent	Expert Review		
Provisional	First Come First Served		
Historical	Expert Review		

- URI schemes do NOT divide the space by category
- Standardization can reclassify a scheme from provisional to permanent
- RFC 8124 section 4.13 on Provisional Registrations:
 - "... If your registry does not have a practical limit on codepoints, perhaps adding the option for provisional registrations might be right for that registry as well."

Proposed policies for ISA registration

- Historical: Specification required
 - Example: legacy BPF packet access instructions (deprecated)
- Permanent: Standards action
 - Example: everything else in instruction-set.rst
- Provisional: Specification required

Option 1: Multiple key fields for BPF instructions

- BPF instructions are identified by (opcode, src, imm, offset) tuple
 - Where src, imm can be wildcards

Examples:

opcode	src	imm	offset	description
0x07	0x0	any	0	dst += imm
0x0f	any	0x00	0	dst += src
0x30	any	0x00	1	dst = (src != 0) ? (dst s/ src) : 0

Option 2: Mutiple tables

- BPF opcode table
- Separate table per opcode with multiple instructions

Opcodes:

opcode

0x17

0x18

0x1f

...

description64-bit immediate instructions:descriptionsrcdescriptiondst -= imm0x0dst = imm64See 64-bit immediate
instructions registry0x1dst = map_by_fd(imm)dst -= src......

Existing instructions: are all mandatory?

- Immediate instructions for maps & variables (opcode 0x18)
- Atomic instructions (opcode 0xdb)
- Call local
- Call by BTF ID
- Some runtimes don't yet support the above categories
- Should we define one or more of them as if it were an "extension"?

Questions?

- <u>https://github.com/ietf-wg-bpf/ebpf-docs/pull/33/files</u>
- Contains IANA considerations text posted to mailing list