MEDIAMAN at IETF 117

This session is being recorded
Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF’s patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/(Privacy Policy)
IETF meetings, virtual meetings, and mailing lists are intended for professional collaboration and networking, as defined in the IETF Guidelines for Conduct (RFC 7154), the IETF Anti-Harassment Policy, and the IETF Anti-Harassment Procedures (RFC 7776). If you have any concerns about observed behavior, please talk to the Ombudsteam, who are available if you need confidentiality to raise concerns confident about harassment or other conduct in the IETF.

The IETF strives to create and maintain an environment in which people of many different backgrounds and identities are treated with dignity, decency, and respect. Those who participate in the IETF are expected to behave according to professional standards and demonstrate appropriate workplace behavior.

IETF participants must not engage in harassment while at IETF meetings, virtual meetings, social events, or on mailing lists. Harassment is unwelcome hostile or intimidating behavior—in particular, speech or behavior that is aggressive or intimidates.

If you believe you have been harassed, notice that someone else is being harassed, or have any other concerns, you are encouraged to raise your concern in confidence with one of the Ombudspersons.
IETF 117 Meeting Tips

In-person participants

- Make sure to sign into the session using the Meetecho (usually the “Meetecho lite” client) from the Datatracker agenda
- Use Meetecho to join the mic queue
- *Keep audio and video off if not using the onsite version*

Remote participants

- Make sure your audio and video are off unless you are chairing or presenting during a session
- Use of a headset is strongly recommended
Resources for IETF 117 San Francisco

- Agenda
  https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/agenda
- Meetecho and other information:
  https://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/preparation
- If you need technical assistance, see the Reporting Issues page:
  http://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/issues/
Agenda for today

1. Introduction, Note Well, scribe, agenda bash (5 min)
2. Principles for new Top Level types (10 min)
   ○ draft-ietf-mediaman-toplevel
3. Top level type "Haptics" (10 min)
   ○ draft-ietf-mediaman-haptics
4. Multiple suffixes for media types (10 min)
   ○ draft-ietf-mediaman-suffixes
5. Community registration in the standards tree (15 min)
   ○ draft-nottingham-mediaman-standards-tree
6. Wrapup, action items, followup (10 min)
WG Status

- Toplevel: DONE in WG
- Haptics: A few issues to fix, nothing fundamental
- Multiple suffixes: Unclear
- Revising the rules: Ideas
Last Call has ended
Only one review received
Complete consensus achieved
Will write up and forward to the IESG for processing
Any questions?
WG Last Call on -03 did not show consensus to publish
Issues raised seem to be fixable in obvious ways
Proposed fixes are in -04
Should not need another WG Last Call
Discuss....
Considerable discussion on approach
Not clear that it's ready for WG Last Call
Discuss!
Community registration in the standards tree

draft-nottingham-mediaman-standards-tree

- Adopt as a WG draft?
Structure: 6838bis or patch docs?

- toplevel, -suffixes and -standards-tree all modify specific parts of RFC 6838
- Alternative approaches:
  - Publish as separate docs “updating” 6838
  - Process as drafts, do a merge pass into 6838bis in the end
  - Start on 6838bis now
- Strawman: Let -toplevel go to RFC, start on 6838bis now?
- Discuss!
Any Other Business?