suffixes: Changes since IETF 116

- Changes since IETF 116
  - Removed "pipeline processing", simplifying algorithms
  - Algorithms are now deterministic in all know use cases
  - Algorithms do not result in "unprocessable" media types
  - A few new, more focused Security Considerations
  - [05 version published](#) (with some tracker fixes)
  - Multiple new reviews, folks seem to be ok with the direction, EXCEPT FOR...
suffixes: Remaining issues

- Remaining issues (all issues processed except for 3)
  - Is this an inline update to RFC 6838, or a new RFC?
    - Proposal: New RFC, we don't have bandwidth for RFC6838bis.
  - Does the suffixes registration template need revision?
    - Proposal: Yes, but can we go to LCWG while doing that?
  - Is the suffixes registry "Specification Required"?
    - Proposal: Yes, it is, registry needs to be updated.
  - Are expert reviewers expected to ensure subtype semantics?
    - Proposal: No, consensus determined by subtype change controller.
  - Anything else that's not in the issue tracker?
IETF 116: mediaman-suffixes

- Who has reviewed the draft?
  - Harald Alvestrand, Martin J. Dürst, Ivan Herman, Graham Klyne, Murray S. Kucherawy, Darrel Miller, Mark Nottingham, Roberto Polli, Orie Steele, and Ted Thibodeau Jr.

- Who supports going to WG Last Call at this time?
  - Should we call for consensus to enter WGLC?