

suffixes: Changes since IETF 116

- Changes since IETF 116
 - Removed "pipeline processing", simplifying algorithms
 - Algorithms are now deterministic in all know use cases
 - Algorithms do not result in "unprocessable" media types
 - A few new, more focused Security Considerations
 - [-05 version published](#) (with some tracker fixes)
 - Multiple new reviews, folks seem to be ok with the direction, EXCEPT FOR...

suffixes: Remaining issues

- Remaining issues (all issues processed except for 3)
 - Is this an inline update to RFC 6838, or a new RFC?
 - Proposal: New RFC, we don't have bandwidth for RFC6838bis.
 - Does the suffixes registration template need revision?
 - Proposal: Yes, but can we go to LCWG while doing that?
 - Is the suffixes registry "Specification Required"?
 - Proposal: Yes, it is, registry needs to be updated.
 - Are expert reviewers expected to ensure subtype semantics?
 - Proposal: No, consensus determined by subtype change controller.
 - Anything else that's not in the issue tracker?

IETF 116: mediaman-suffixes

- Who has reviewed the draft?
 - Harald Alvestrand, Martin J. Dürst, Ivan Herman, Graham Klyne, Murray S. Kucherawy, Darrel Miller, Mark Nottingham, Roberto Polli, Ori Steele, and Ted Thibodeau Jr.
- Who supports going to WG Last Call at this time?
 - Should we call for consensus to enter WGLC?