The difference is best viewed comparing -13 and -16:

Summary

- AFI-agnostic ASPA was deemed simpler by the WG
- ASPA not really deployed in the field, still time to change
- Notion of AFI-specific ASPA removed from spec
DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT EXPLICIT TAGS ::= 
BEGIN

IMPORTS CONTENT-TYPE FROM CryptographicMessageSyntax-2010
{ 1 2 840 113549 1 9 16 0 id-mod-cms-2009(58) } ;
id-ct-ASPA OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { 1 2 840 113549 1 9 16 1 aspa(49) }

ct-ASPA CONTENT-TYPE ::= { TYPE ASProviderAttestation IDENTIFIED BY id-ct-ASPA }

ASProviderAttestation ::= SEQUENCE {
  version [0] INTEGER DEFAULT 0, -- version value now MUST be 1
  customerASID ASID,
  providers ProviderASSet
}

ProviderASSet ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE(1..MAX)) OF ProviderAS

ASN.1 overview of changes

ASID ::= INTEGER (0..4294967295)

END
Implementation status: full matrix

Relying Party:
- Rpki-client
- Routinator

Signers:
- RIPE NCC
- APNIC
- Job Snijders’ private implementation
Outstanding question for the group

Use AS 0, or empty SEQUENCE to indicate transit-freeness?
Questions?