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Recap: Problem Statement

- Companion to draft-ietf-v6ops-dhcp-pd-per-device
- Defines a way to hint to the device whether to use PD or not
- On some networks, it’s advantageous to delegate a prefix to every device
  - Network might have plenty of space, but have scaling problems tracking individual /128s
- Other networks (e.g., home network with a /60) have no address scaling problem, but don’t have enough prefixes to hand out a /64 per device
Recap: Proposed Solution

- Add a new P flag to the PIO
  - “If you understand this flag, please DHCPv6 PD to get a unique prefix, and assign addresses from that prefix, instead of using SLAAC on the on-link prefix”

- Why in the PIO?
  - Must be available to the device before it does SLAAC => must be in RA
  - Specific to the particular prefix
    - Allows, for example, to SLAAC for ULA and PD for global space
    - In a multihoming situation, different upstreams might support different mechanisms
Update since IETF 117

- **draft-ietf-v6ops-dhcp-pd-per-device** just passed WGLC

**Changes since -01:**

- Clarified that the prefix in the PIO is unrelated to any prefix(es) delegated via PD
- **#20** Clarify P=0 doesn’t mean that there is no PD. Devices that are configured to use PD, or that use it by default, can still use it
- Added text to ensure source address selection associates addresses from PD prefix with interface that prefix came from
- Minor changes:
  - **#18** Remove mentions of DECLINE
  - **#19** Change “host” to “device” to match v6ops document
Next steps

- WG adoption call?
Questions?
Appendix: Using the delegated prefix

- Device MAY use as many addresses as it wants

- Device MAY use prefix to assign IPv6 addresses to internal components such as VMs and containers

- If permitted by device policy, device MAY use prefix to extend the network
  - => device MUST use DHCPv6 PD hint for prefix size sufficient to use SLAAC
    - Extending the network is already always possible via NAT44 / NAT66. PD allows extension of end-to-end connectivity as well
Appendix: Renumbering

- Device tracks every (unexpired) PIO with P=1
  - Keep DHCPv6 PD running as long as at least one such prefix exists
  - Start PD SOLICITs or REBIND when such a prefix appears or is deprecated
  - Routers that require DHCPv6 PD to work can still request PD regardless of P flag

- Why not RECONFIGURE?
  - Not widely implemented, difficult to use (requires authentication)
Appendix: Multihoming

- If multiple PVDs on link, every packet’s source address must match next-hop.

- Device shall maintain the mapping between delegated prefixes and routers (relay) link-local addresses so Rule 5.5 can be used.
  - (yet unclear) what if relay is not collocated with the router.

- Why not PVD option in DHCPv6?  
  - Previous work in this area was blocked by an IPR claim.

- Why not ICMPv6 redirects?  
  - Redirects not specific to source address.