This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
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6 DOCUMENT STATUS (3/3)

- ACME-Authority Token
  - Approved before IETF 116; still in RFC Editor’s queue
- ACME-DTN-Nodeld (validation extension)
  - Publication requested, but
  - Stuck since October…

- **No RFC published for almost 2 years.**
- **Should be different by 118.**
Document Status (1/2)

• draft-ietf-acme-subdomains was published as RFC 9444.
  • Thanks to Owen, Richard, Tim and Michael for all the work.

• draft-ietf-acme-authority-token and draft-ietf-acme-authority-token-tnauthlist were published as RFC 9447 and RFC 9448 respectively.
  • Thanks to Jon, Mary, David and Chris for the work.
  • Thanks to Rich Salz for shepherding them.

• These three RFCs bring ACME’s total to 10 RFCs.

• ACME-ONION got a revision last month. Presentation today.
Document status (2/2)

- ARI got revision -02 in August. No discussion on the list since then. No presentation today.
- ACME-Client got revision -07 in August. No discussion on the list. No presentation today.
- DTN-nodeId revision -11 in August. Publication requested, but still stuck waiting for Roman for 383 days.
- Device Attestation got revision -01 in July. No discussion on list.
- ACME integrations in RFC editor’s queue. Waiting for an ANIMA draft and a LAMPS draft
- Account-Challenge – no new draft since last time. No discussion

- draft-vanbrouwershaven-acme-auto-discovery – revision -02 from last month. Presentation today
- draft-giron-acme-pqcnegotiation – revision -02 from July. No discussion or presentation.
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