This session is being recorded
This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF’s patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/(Privacy Policy)
What NomCom needs from IETF community

Please respond to call for community feedback:
https://datatracker.ietf.org/nomcom/2023/feedback/

Primarily feedback for IRTF chair and ART AD requested, but all feedback is still open this week

It is also possible to provide feedback not specific to any given nominee (“Topics” in the feedback page)

• Scope: Only that which could be useful for NomCom 2023 to fulfill its job

Help us reflect the community

All this is subject to NomCom confidentiality (only seen by the NomCom 2023 members)

• Not a venue for public discussion
Status of WG documents without slots

**draft-ietf-anima-brski-cloud-08 (was -07 at IETF117)**
Received IPR disclosure replies from authors only on IETF monday (after some escalation)
Shepherd (Sheng) will now do shepherd writeup.

**draft-ietf-anima-rfc8366bis-10 (was -08 at IETF117)**
Working off inherited issues from other drafts (this draft will close after drafts depending on it will finalize) New Shepherd writeup since IETF117, co-authors discussing/responding to this (some github points already opened). Takes work to verify if/how shepherd change proposals would/would-not break existing tool chain / assumptions.

**draft-ietf-anima-network-service-auto-deployment-05 (refresh, was -04 at IETF117)**
At IETF117 was proposing to park document if no progress/work was invested until IETF118 (to give more time) *(serializing, will concentrate first on following doc:)*

**draft-ietf-anima-grasp-distribution-08 (refresh, was -07 at IETF117)**
Co-author active again (job related delay in before). Chair discussed existing shepherd review concerns with shepherd, Will propose fixes to document to make it pass shepherd review.
Explained BRSKI cluster at IETF116
Not trying to rush any document on BRSKI out the door due to mutual dependencies (except BRSKI-AE).

IETF117
Initiated WG-last call reviews to get updated status from non-green early reviews.
Finished most of those (pushing reviewers) on this sunday. (especially BRSKI-AE)

BRSKI-AE:
Shepherd will do (after last-call review feedback now finished) will do Shepherd writeup

Proposed restructuring existing BRSKI work this WG meeting:
Move advanced / unclear discovery options out of BRSKI drafts into separate document, try to formulate that this is non-normative, so that advanced discovery options do not further delay existing BRSKI drafts.
New Work reminder

ANIMA welcomes new work proposal
Especially for non-BRSKI areas (ASA)

But please propose / discuss on ANIMA mailing list “in-time” before IETF WG meeting scheduling
   We had to decide on 1 vs. 2 hour slot ca. 2 months before this meeting.
Backup slides

Please study the following slides about process and rules on your own time due to limited time during this IETF118 WG time slot
IETF 118 Meeting Tips

In-person participants
• Make sure to sign into the session using the Meetecho (usually the “Meetecho lite” client) from the Datatracker agenda
• Use Meetecho to join the mic queue
• Keep audio and video off if not using the onsite version
• Wear masks unless actively speaking at the microphone.

Remote participants
• Make sure your audio and video are off unless you are chairing or presenting during a session
• Use of a headset is strongly recommended

Get counted for Bluesheets!
Resources for IETF 118 Prague

- Agenda
  https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/agenda
- Meetecho and other information:
  https://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/preparation
- If you need technical assistance, see the Reporting Issues page:
  http://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/issues/
Welcome to ANIMA

● Chairs
  ○ Toerless Eckert, Futurewei USA, <tte@cs.fau.de>
  ○ Sheng Jiang, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications <shengjiang@bupt.edu.cn>

● Secretary
  ○ Michael Richardson, <mcr+ietf@sandelman.ca>

● Jabber Scribe
  ○ anima@ietf.jabber.org

● Minutes
  ○ https://notes.ietf.org/notes-ietf-118-anima

● Meeting Materials
  ○ https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/118/session/anima

● Chatroom
  ○ https://zulip.ietf.org/#narrow/stream/anima

● Meetecho
  ○ https://meetecho.ietf.org/conference/?group=anima
Reminder: Early IPR Disclosure Process

We follow process put in place in other groups as a result of very late IPR disclosures
This is not a required IETF process

Includes

Polling of draft authors & contributors:
  Prior to moving to next step in WG process
    Before an individual draft becomes a WG document and
    Before a WG document goes to last call
  Requires IPR compliance statement from all listed in draft

New Step:
Request that an IPR statement be sent to the list by any new author or contributor when added to a WG document
Shepherding

Next level beyond individual review to support other authors work

- See reciprocity. Ideally every document has a shepherd
- WG chairs as Shepherds is just a fallback solution
- Main additional work: checking doc formal aspects, WG-last-call shepherd writeup, tracking/involving in IETF/IESG last call process.
- Does not have to be technical expert on topic (would be great though), it is also about shepherding the process, supporting the WG/chairs.
- Thanks to Matthias Kovatsch to become Shepherd for 2 drafts (PRM, JWS)
- Thanks for Alexander Clemm to become Shepherd for RFC8366bis
Never enough time for technical discussions?

• Sorry, IETF has a lot of processes.
  – Spending a bit of time 3 times a year on them is cost of business
• Design-Teams, Interims are the new WORKing group meeting
  – Online, meetecho makes it easy and painless
  – PLEASE ASK CHAIRS TO HELP YOU GET ORGANIZED IF YOU CAN NOT DO IT BY YOURSELVES.
• Please just ask on WG mailing list (or chairs if unsure)
  – Given reasonable interest, WG chairs happy to set up interim

• Most active work in ANIMA self-organized even without chair help!!!
Open to any contributors from ANIMA (and of course other WGs):

Title: BRSKI design team

New Time: Weekly from 11:00 AM to 12:00 AM on Tuesdays Eastern Time - Toronto

Where: https://whereby.com/sandelman

Calendar: anima@ietf.org

Reminders sent regularly to ANIMA mailing list.
Tooling Reminder

- For newcomers to anima:
- GitHub organization used for ANIMA (for several years now)
- Collaborative work and issue tracking can be done
- For WG and non-WG (not-yet-WG) documents
- Members (chairs and others) can add members for for collab / project / doc creation
- [https://github.com/anima-wg](https://github.com/anima-wg)