DRIP WG

IETF#118, November 2023, Prague
Chairs: Daniel Migault & Mohamed Boucadair
Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/(Privacy Policy)
Agenda

• Agenda Bashing & Documents Status (5 min)

• Implementations
  – DRIP Implementations Updates (Andrei) (10 min)
  – New DRIP Implementation (Govind) (10 min)

• Protocol Specification & Deployment
  – Registries: Status, Issues, Next Steps (Adam) (15 min)
    • Outcome of the discussions with IANA about delegation
    • DRIP RR request
    • Other related business
  – Operationalizing DRIP: the DKI Approach (Bob) (15 min)
    • DKI Objectives
    • How this can be used/useful and to whom?
    • Is this in the charter?
    • Why we need to adopt?
    • This is a support document: Adopt but not publish as RFC [1]

• Future of the WG (5 min)
## Document Status

### Active Internet-Drafts (2 hits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Draft</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Pages</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-drip-auth-39</td>
<td>DRIP Entity Tag Authentication Formats &amp; Protocols for Broadcast Remote ID</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2023-10-12</td>
<td>I-D Exists</td>
<td>genart Early, secdir Early, opsdir Early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-drip-registries-13</td>
<td>DRIP Entity Tag (DET) Identity Management Architecture</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2023-09-18</td>
<td>I-D Exists</td>
<td>genart Early</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RFCs (3 hits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RFC</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Pages</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFC 9434 (was draft-ietf-drip-arch)</td>
<td>Drone Remote Identification Protocol (DRIP) Architecture</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2023-07</td>
<td>Informational RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFC 9374 (was draft-ietf-drip-rid)</td>
<td>DRIP Entity Tag (DET) for Unmanned Aircraft System Remote ID (UAS RID)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2023-03</td>
<td>Proposed Standard RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFC 9153 (was draft-ietf-drip-reqs)</td>
<td>Drone Remote Identification Protocol (DRIP) Requirements and Terminology</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2022-02</td>
<td>Informational RFC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Future of the WG

• Close when draft-reg is finalized?
• Other options

• Open mic