The DRIP DET Key Infrastructure

draft-moskowitz-drip-dki-09 November 7, 2023 Robert Moskowitz

Of DKIs and PKIs

Why?

- I tried to implement a test environment based on draft-ietf-drip-registries-09 and couldn't
 - Lots of unknowns
 - No tools
 - And potential security risks
 - That become apparent when you work through actual use

Why?

- Thus two documents
 - DIME technical matters in drip-registries
 - Has a likely endpoint for publishing
 - DKI for implemenational matters
 - Items will take time to resolve
 - At some point should be "done enough" for historical records

Objectives

- What it takes to deploy DET support
- Present the full DET Endorsement trust tree
 - And alternative deployment strategies
- Define X.509 "shadow" PKI
 - Many places where X.509 is preferred
 - Compatibility with ICAO PKI
 - Lite-PKI and PKIX-like profiles
 - c509 encoding for reduced A2X packet overhead

Objectives

- DNS deployment
 - ip6.arpa. tree
 - HID forward tree
- Open python scripts
 - DET generation
 - DNS RR generation
 - Endorsements
 - DET RR

Relation to Charter

- Drip-registers is inadequate to deploy
 - More is needed as shown in DKI draft
 - Need to interact with ICAO PKI
 - ASTM and A2X workgroup
 - Certificate-based broadcasts

Relation to Charter

- In particular
 - "leverage Internet standards ... and infrastructure ... well as domain name registration business"
 - What are the DNS RR in what domains?
 - PKIX used by others (civil aviation) and ASTM/Mitre A2X
 - Or "require existing protocols to be extended"
 - DRIP-specific X.509 profile and integration to ICAO Certificate Policy

Value in Workgroup adoption

- Content of drip-dki will used outside of IETF
 - ICAO TFP PKI and RPAS/UTM Panels
 - ASTM
 - UTM/UAS industry groups
- Industry has matured since DRIP started
 - Need to stay current and relevant
- Inform drip-registries of needed tech content

Value in Workgroup adoption

- Start out as "live" record of implementation
- Potential to evolve to guidance to new entrants
 - Adopt as a support document
 - i.e., the WG might decide to not publish as an RFC

Questions?