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Of DKIs and PKIs
Why?

• I tried to implement a test environment based on draft-ietf-drip-registries-09 and couldn’t
  - Lots of unknowns
  - No tools
  - And potential security risks
    • That become apparent when you work through actual use
Why?

• Thus two documents
  - DIME technical matters in drip-registries
    • Has a likely endpoint for publishing
  - DKI for implemenational matters
    • Items will take time to resolve
    • At some point should be “done enough” for historical records
Objectives

• What it takes to deploy DET support
• Present the full DET Endorsement trust tree
  - And alternative deployment strategies
• Define X.509 “shadow” PKI
  - Many places where X.509 is preferred
    • Compatibility with ICAO PKI
  - Lite-PKI and PKIX-like profiles
  - c509 encoding for reduced A2X packet overhead
Objectives

• DNS deployment
  - ip6.arpa. tree
  - HID forward tree
• Open python scripts
  - DET generation
  - DNS RR generation
  - Endorsements
    • DET RR
Relation to Charter

- Drip-registers is inadequate to deploy
  - More is needed as shown in DKI draft
  - Need to interact with ICAO PKI
  - ASTM and A2X workgroup
    - Certificate-based broadcasts
Relation to Charter

• In particular
  - “leverage Internet standards … and infrastructure … well as domain name registration business”
    • What are the DNS RR in what domains?
    • PKIX used by others (civil aviation) and ASTM/Mitre A2X
  - Or “require existing protocols to be extended”
    • DRIP-specific X.509 profile and integration to ICAO Certificate Policy
Value in Workgroup adoption

• Content of drip-dki will used outside of IETF
  - ICAO TFP PKI and RPAS/UTM Panels
  - ASTM
  - UTM/UAS industry groups

• Industry has matured since DRIP started
  - Need to stay current and relevant

• Inform drip-registries of needed tech content
Value in Workgroup adoption

• Start out as “live” record of implementation

• Potential to evolve to guidance to new entrants
  - Adopt as a support document
    • i.e., the WG might decide to not publish as an RFC
Questions?