

Splitting
Flow Specification V2
draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-v2
draft-hares-idr-flowspec-v2-DDOS

Susan Hares

Co-authors: Donald Eastlake, Chaitanya Yadlapalli, Sven Maduschke

History

- WG said FSv1
 - Use case: only DDOS
 - Packet Format: no header changes
- WG FSv2 – two use cases DDOS + directed Filters
 - Matches – TLVs with User Ordering of matches (groups of prefixes)
 - Actions in Extended Communities – limited
 - Action in “Community Container” in Wide Community draft – new Type
 - Yang modules for responses or errors
- IDR Wide Communities – returned to WG

Why Split

- Feedback from Implementations too much to implement at once
 - Will keep WG draft as full source
 - Will do splits based on feedback from implementers
- Highest priority – DDOS enhanced
 - TLV format for types
 - Actions - Only Extended Community Matches – Redirect *
- Questions
 - What happens if match fails – DDOS case + Direct Filters cae

```

+-----+
| length (2 octets) |
+-----+
| Sub-TLVs by service |
| +=====+ |
| | order (4 octets) | |
| +-----+ |
| | type (2 octets) | |
| +-----+ |
| | length-Subtlv (2 octets) | |
| | FSv1 components | |
| +-----+ |
| | TLVs with FS components | |
| | multiple destinations | |
| | groupings | |
| +=====+ |
+-----+

```

FSv1 - AFI/SAFI based

FSv2 - AFI/SAFI for IPv4, IPv6 + VPNS

SFC (standardized)

FSv2 types: now application

1 - IP traffic rules -

2 - L2VPN

3 - SFC filters

4- SFC VPN traffic Rules

5 - BGP/MPLS VPN IP Traffic

6 - BGP/MPLS VPN L2 Traffic

Need input for implementers

- Must get top-level correct
- Trade-offs depend on implementation
 - Open source
 - FSv1 → FSv2 vendors



