## RFC5019-bis

https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-lamps-rfc5019bis/

C. Bonnell, C. Wilson, T. Ito, S. Turner

## Since IETF117

- Adopted by the LAMPS WG
- Address some comments

include only one SingleResponse in the ResponseData.responses	include only one SingleResponse in the ResponseData.responses
structure, but MAY include additional SingleResponse elements if	189 structure, but MAY include additional SingleResponse elements if
necessary to improve response pre-generation performance or cache	190 necessary to improve response pre-generation performance or cache
efficiency.	191 + efficiency. For instance, ResponseData.responses of OCSPResponses
	192 + that conform to this profile MAY include two SingleResponse
	193 + with SHA-1 and SHA-256 certID of the same certificate.
	194
The responder SHOULD NOT include responseExtensions. As specified in	195 The responder SHOULD NOT include responseExtensions. As specified in
{{RFC6960}}, clients MUST ignore unrecognized non-critical	196 {{RFC6960}}, clients MUST ignore unrecognized non-critical
@@ −630,3 +631,5 @@ Russ Housley for the feedback and suggestions.	
The authors wish to thank Magnus Nystrom of RSA Security, Inc.,	The authors wish to thank Magnus Nystrom of RSA Security, Inc.,
Jagjeet Sondh of Vodafone Group R&D, and David Engberg of CoreStreet,	Jagjeet Sondh of Vodafone Group R&D, and David Engberg of CoreStreet,
Ltd. for their contributions to the original {{RFC5019}} specification.	633 Ltd. for their contributions to the original {{RFC5019}} specification.
	634 + Listed organizational affiliations reflect the author's affiliation
	635 + at the time of RFC5019 was published.

## WG last Call?

No opposition comment

 We are just making update for a profile, no change in the protocol