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Context

IS-IS TLV encoding uses an 8-bit length, limiting content to 255 octets. New technologies (SR, Flex-algo, Traffic Engineering extensions) increase demand for advertising more than 255 octets of information per object (links, prefixes).

Multi-part TLVs have been explicitly defined for some TLVs:
- GMPLS-SRLG [RFC5307]
- Router Capability TLV [RFC7981]
- IPv6 SRLG [RFC6119]
- ASLA SRLG [RFC8919]
- ASLA sub-TLV [RFC8919]

Extending the use of MP to other TLVs builds on the existing protocol framework.

Some implementations have implemented MP for neighbor/prefix TLVs.

With partial deployment behavior is unpredictable.
Changes in V4 of the draft

Clarifies that MP can apply to any codepoint which supports sub-TLVs

Adds Capability Advertisement: Support for MP for TLVs which do not have explicit specification

Introduction of PICS support via YANG
(draft-qgp-lsr-isis-pics-yang)
Router Capability sub-TLV

MP-TLV Support for TLVs with implicit support

“Nodes which support MP-TLV for codepoints for which existing specifications do not explicitly define such support, but for which MP-TLV is applicable, SHOULD include this sub-TLV in a Router Capability TLV.”

Important Notes:
• Informational only – no impact on protocol operation
• Not per codepoint
• Not intended use of Capability Advertisement
• Exception made due to operator concerns about the disruptiveness of partial deployment in this case
• Not a model for future uses
PICS Support via YANG

Interoperability issues w partial deployment of MP-TLV support illustrate operator need to know what implementations support

Advertising PICS by the routing protocol is a poor choice
  - Large amount of data required
  - Data is duplicated on every router

Introduction of PICS support via YANG
  (draft-qgp-lsr-isis-pics-yang)
Next Steps

WG adoption for draft-pkaneria-lsr-multi-tlv

- The need to send > 255 bytes exists today
- There are existing interoperable implementations deployed
- Draft is two years old – the deployment needs have existed for longer than that

There is no backwards compatible mechanism. Alternatives have been evaluated – they do not help.

This is NOT equivalent to narrow->wide metric transition

- No new codepoints have been introduced.
- All nodes (legacy and upgraded) have to process all of the sub-TLVs

Concerns regarding interoperability and deployment have been addressed