

6 November 2023

IETF 118 MEDIAMAN meeting

This session is being recorded

Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- [BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)
- [BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)
- [BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- [BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)
- [BCP 78](#) (Copyright)
- [BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)

Note Really Well


- IETF meetings, virtual meetings, and mailing lists are intended for professional collaboration and networking, as defined in the IETF Guidelines for Conduct (RFC 7154), the IETF Anti-Harassment Policy, and the IETF Anti-Harassment Procedures (RFC 7776). If you have any concerns about observed behavior, please talk to the Ombudsteam, who are available if you need confidentiality to raise concerns confident about harassment or other conduct in the IETF.
- The IETF strives to create and maintain an environment in which people of many different backgrounds and identities are treated with dignity, decency, and respect. Those who participate in the IETF are expected to behave according to professional standards and demonstrate appropriate workplace behavior.
- IETF participants must not engage in harassment while at IETF meetings, virtual meetings, social events, or on mailing lists. Harassment is unwelcome hostile or intimidating behavior—in particular, speech or behavior that is aggressive or intimidates.
- If you believe you have been harassed, notice that someone else is being harassed, or have any other concerns, you are encouraged to raise your concern in confidence with one of the Ombudspersons.

This session is being recorded

IETF 118 Meeting Tips

In-person participants

- Make sure to sign into the session using the Meetecho (usually the “Meetecho lite” client) from the Datatracker agenda
- Use Meetecho to join the mic queue
- *Keep audio and video off if not using the onsite version*



Remote participants

- Make sure your audio and video are off unless you are chairing or presenting during a session
- Use of a headset is strongly recommended

Resources for IETF 118 Prague

- Agenda
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/agenda>
- Meetecho and other information:
<https://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/preparation>
- If you need technical assistance, see the Reporting Issues page:
<http://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/issues/>

Agenda

- Introduction, Note Well, scribe, agenda bash (5 min)
- Status of WG documents (5 min)
- Principles for new Top Level types (15 min)
- Multiple suffixes for media types (15 min)
- Community registration in the standards tree (15 min)
- Wrapup, action items, followup (5 min)

WG Status

- toplevel has DISCUSS issues
- haptics is APPROVED, awaiting toplevel
- suffixes is REVISED
- standards-tree is ADOPTED
- bis registration is NOT STARTED

TOplevel discuss items

Filed as issues in our issue tracker.

[#8](#) Initial content of the toplevel registry

[#9](#) Considerations vs Instructions

[#10](#) Nits from Lars Eggert

[#11](#) Toplevel and Registration Trees (from Lars Eggert)

[#13](#) Reference IANA registry policy

#8 IANA - toplevel registry

Problem: IANA wants explicit instructions on how to populate the toplevel registry

Proposed solution: Include the table that we want in the registry in the IANA considerations section.

Status: Needs to be implemented.

#9 Considerations vs Instructions

- Worry: The rules aren't phrased like rules, but more "considerations"
- Initial response: Some of them are, some of them aren't.
- Proposed resolution: Move or copy concrete rules to IANA section, rename this section to "Considerations"

#10 Nits from Lars Eggert

Many points that indicate that review before pubreq wasn't careful enough.

Proposed disposition: Apply obvious fixes, file new issues where substance seems to be changed.

#11 Toplevel and registration trees

- This seems to be based on a misunderstanding of where registration trees live (they are on the subtype, not the toplevel type)
- Suggested resolution: Clarify, do not change intent.

#13 Reference IANA reg policy

Problem: IANA considerations section doesn't conform to guidelines

Solution: Reference RFC 8126 "Standards Action"

Status: Addressed in repo

Multiple Suffixes

Presenter: Manu Sporny

[Separate presentation](#)

Community Registration

Presenter: Mark Nottingham

Wrapup, action items, followup