

---

---

# MLS Extensions

IETF 118 Prague

Raphael Robert, Konrad Kohbrok, Joël Alwen, Marta Mularczyk

---

---

# Recent additions to the document

- Last resort KeyPackage extension
  - SelfRemove proposal
  - Safe Extensions API
  - New IANA registry entries for use by extensions
-

---

# Last Resort KeyPackage Extension

- KeyPackage extension without any data
  - Marks KeyPackages for use in cases of last resort
  - Visible to DS, as well as group members
  - Authenticated through signature on the KeyPackage
-

---

# SelfRemove Proposal

- GroupContext Extension that allows use of the SelfRemove proposal
  - SelfRemove proposal proposes the removal of the sender
  - Can be committed as part of an External Commit
-

---

# Safe Extension threat model

- Assumption: Folks start writing MLS extensions
  - 3 actors: MLS protocol spec authors, MLS extension authors, application developers
  - Scenario: application developer wants to use MLS with extensions A & B
  - Questions:
    - Do extensions A & B break security guarantees of the vanilla MLS protocol?
    - Does extensions A & B break each others' security guarantees?
-

---

# Safe Extension API

- Interface through which extensions can interact with the main MLS protocol
  - Extensions interacting with MLS only via the safe extensions API are called safe extensions
  - Safe extensions don't break MLS security guarantees
  - Safe extensions don't break security guarantees of one-another
  - Targeted messages changed to use safe extensions
-

---

## Safe Extension API (cont'd)

- Safe extensions can use
    - public key material from the main protocol (HPKE/signatures)
    - export secrets
    - inject PSKs
  - Safety enforced through domain separation by extension ID
  - Next step: access control on group context extensions
    - GroupContext Extensions (i.e. their proposals) can modify only their own extension data
    - Might remove the need for a GroupContextExtension proposal
-

---

# New IANA registry entries for extensions

- There are now IANA entries for extension specific
    - Credentials
    - Proposals (multiple variants)
    - WireFormats
  - Each entry contains an extension ID field and a data field
  - Extension authors only have to register an extension code point and can then use any of the above structs
-



---

## Next steps

- Continue the work on Safe Extensions: better separation, address feedback
  - More extensions:
    - User Trees
    - New stab at deniability (depends on User Trees)
    - MIMI related extensions
    - Encrypted group context extensions
    - Post-Quantum optimized mode
    - Application messages from external senders
-