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Length Mismatch

Each parameter description will indicate the data type in the Parameter Value field. If the parameter value is a varint, but the self-encoded length of that varint does not match the Parameter Length field, the receiver **MUST** ignore the parameter using the value in the Parameter Length field.

This is easier to write and reason about than having different behavior if the param is known or unknown, but is there a compelling reason to fail if known?

#256 is on ice, but would eliminate the duplicate length field and the possibility of an error case.
#210 Track Request Parameters

- SETUP Parameters definitely make sense with the VN text, no notes
- There is one Track Request Parameter remaining: authorization_info, for SUBSCRIBE and ANNOUNCE
- Can we just add an optional field to these messages and make the parameter infrastructure just about SETUP?
- Some are saying we will have a later explosion of message parameters
- Counterpoint: we have capability negotiation in SETUP and $2^{62}$ message codepoints
#151/#250 ROLE Parameter

- Draft is silent about server-sent ROLE
- #151 discussion indicates no one seems to agree what ROLE is for