



WG Document Status

Compiled By: Vishnu Pavan Beeram, Lou Berger and Oscar Gonzalez de Dios

WG Documents

- [draft-ietf-teas-5g-network-slice-application](#) On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls](#) On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-teas-actn-pm-telemetry-autonomics](#) YDR
- [draft-ietf-teas-actn-poi-applicability](#)
- [draft-ietf-teas-actn-vn-yang](#) YDR, On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-teas-actn-yang](#) Expired
- [draft-ietf-teas-applicability-actn-slicing](#)
- [draft-ietf-teas-enhanced-vpn](#) Post-WG-LC
- [draft-ietf-teas-gmpls-controller-inter-work](#) Post-WG-LC
- [draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slice-nbi-yang](#) On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slice-use-cases](#) Expired
- [draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices](#) RFC Ed Queue
- [draft-ietf-teas-nrp-scalability](#) On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-ns-controller-models](#)
- [draft-ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls](#) Expired
- [draft-ietf-teas-pcecc-use-cases](#) Pub Req
- [draft-ietf-teas-rfc3272bis](#) RFC Ed Queue
- [draft-ietf-teas-rfc8776-update](#) On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model](#) Expired, YDR
- [draft-ietf-teas-te-service-mapping-yang](#) YDR
- [draft-ietf-teas-te-topo-and-tunnel-modeling](#) Expired
- [draft-ietf-teas-yang-l3-te-topo](#) YDR
- [draft-ietf-teas-yang-path-computation](#) YDR, On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp](#) YDR, Expired
- [draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp-te](#) YDR, Expired
- [draft-ietf-teas-yang-sr-te-topo](#) YDR
- [draft-ietf-teas-yang-te](#) YDR, On Agenda
- [draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-mpls](#) YDR

draft-ietf-teas-actn-pm-telemetry-autonomics

- From: **Dhruv Dhody** [Nov 4th, 2023]
- Rev 11 published [Sep 10th, 2023]
 - Editorial changes
- Open issues:
 - None
- Status:
 - YANG Doctor review done
 - Ready for WGLC after VN YANG completes WGLC

draft-ietf-teas-actn-poi-applicability

Git Repo: <https://github.com/IETF-TEAS-WG/actn-poi/>

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 09]
- Open issues:
 - <https://github.com/IETF-TEAS-WG/actn-poi/issues>
- Next Steps:
 - Provide text to address all the pending open issues

draft-ietf-teas-actn-yang

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 11]
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired draft
 - The document will be updated based on the progress of applicable models in the ACTN framework

draft-ietf-teas-applicability-actn-slicing

- From: **Daniel King [Aug 30th, 2023]**
- Rev 04 published [Aug 29th, 2023]
 - Added xMI interface to figure 1 as per discussion (earlier teas list email)
 - Various readability updates and NITS
 - Updated references
- Open issues:
 - None
- Next steps:
 - Request further review

draft-ietf-teas-enhanced-vpn

- From: **Jie Dong** [July 8th, 2023]
- Rev 14 published [July 28th, 2023]
 - Addresses Joel's comments on "isolation" specific text.
- Rev 15 published [Oct 23rd, 2023]
 - Attempts to address RTG-DIR review comments
 - Clarifies the scope of VPN+ service -- VPN services with a series of enhanced characteristics
 - Replaces "IETF Network Slice" with "RFC XXXX Network Slice" to align with the latest update in the draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices
 - Reduces the text on network slice introduction, which are covered by similar text in the draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices.
 - Enhance the text that explains the relationship between VTN and NRP
 - Under section 5.2, add a subsection to describe the approach with new encapsulation extensions, and add reference to draft-ietf-teas-nrp-scalability
 - In section 6, replace VTN with NRP as this section talks about the applicability of VPN+ for network slice realization
- Open issues:
 - None
- Next steps:
 - Work with RTG-DIR reviewer (Ketan) resolve pending issues (if any).
 - Move this document forward to IESG.

draft-ietf-teas-gmpls-controller-inter-work

- From: **None**
- Rev 12 published [July 25th, 2023]
 - Resolve RTGDIR review comments
- Open issues:
 - None
- Next steps:
 - Submit to IESG for publication

draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slice-use-cases

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 01]
- Open issues
 - Unaddressed comments from WG adoption poll
- Next steps
 - Revive expired draft
 - Continue to resolve the unaddressed comments
 - Welcome further review and suggestions

draft-ietf-teas-ns-controller-models

- From: **Luis Contreras** [Nov 4th, 2023]
- Revs 00 and 01 published
- Open Issues:
 - Version -01 contains the list of open issues raised during the adoption process.
- Next Steps:
 - Address the open issues listed in v-01
 - Align to the recent terminology adopted (i.e., RFC XXXX Network Slice)

draft-ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 02]
- Open issues:
 - Address the remaining issues tracked in Section 9
- Next Steps:
 - Revive expired version
 - Work on addressing outstanding issues

draft-ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model

- From: **Xufeng Liu** [Nov 4th, 2023]
- No new revision [Current Rev: 11]
- Open issues:
 - None
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired version
 - Welcome further reviews and suggestions

draft-ietf-teas-te-service-mapping-yang

- From: **Dhruv Dhody** [Nov 4th, 2023]
- Rev 14 published [Sep 12th, 2023]
 - Editorial Changes
- No pending issues
 - A new draft on IETF network slicing mapping exists
- Next steps
 - WG review
 - Gated by progress of other YANG models

draft-ietf-teas-te-topo-and-tunnel-modeling

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 06]
- Need to update the document to align with the latest versions of related documents:
 - RFC8776: Common YANG Data Types for Traffic Engineering
 - RFC8795: YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Topologies
 - draft-ietf-teas-yang-te
 - draft-ietf-teas-yang-path-computation
- Need to consider documenting more use cases and techniques
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired draft
 - Complete the above items
 - Request further review and suggestions

draft-ietf-teas-yang-l3-te-topo

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **Xufeng Liu** [Nov 4th, 2023]
- Rev 15 published [Oct 21st, 2023]
 - Editorial (Update a reference; Change co-author's affiliation)
- Open Issues:
 - This document uses the updated YANG module ietf-te-types that is proposed in <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-teas-rfc8776-update>. Authors' will decide how to update this document accordingly based on the discussion result of the draft mentioned above.
 - Align with the WG consensus on the module prefix convention
- Next steps:
 - Solve the open issues above
 - Keep coordinating with ietf-eth-te-topology and ietf-te-mpls-topology to ensure aligned model relations
 - WG LC after completing the above

draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 18]
- Open issues:
 - Authors received comments regarding leaf defaults (where the defaults are not defined in IETF RFCs) that are currently used in the model
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired draft
 - Authors are addressing outstanding comments
 - WG LC after completing the above

draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp-te

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 09]
- Open items:
 - Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in Appendix
- Next steps:
 - Revive expired draft
 - WG LC will be requested after the open item is addressed

draft-ietf-teas-yang-sr-te-topo

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **Xufeng Liu** [Nov 4th, 2023]
- Rev 18 published [Oct 21st, 2023]
 - Editorial (Update a reference; Change co-author's affiliation)
- Open issues
 - Waiting for confirmation from Andrew to close the comments received
- Next steps
 - Welcome further reviews and suggestions.
 - WG LC after completing the above.

draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-mpls

Git Repo: <https://github.com/tsaad-dev/te>

- From: **None**
- No new revision [Current Rev: 04]
- Open item:
 - Close on open issues on MPLS-TP modeling
- Next steps:
 - WG LC will be requested after the open item is addressed