

# DELEG @ Delegations BoF

David Blacka, David Lawrence, Ralf Weber

# Quick Review

## Basic delegation:

```
example.com.          86400      IN DELEG  1 ns1.example.com. (
    ipv4hint=192.0.2.1 ipv6hint=2001:DB8::1 )
```

## Alias mode:

```
example.com.          86400      IN DELEG  0  config2.example.net.
config2.example.net  3600      IN SVCB   . (
    ipv4hint=192.0.2.54,192.0.2.56
    ipv6hint=2001:db8:2423::3,2001:db8:2423::4 )
```

# Motivations

- Secures the delegation
  - Currently chain-of-trust break is only discovered after talking to rogue nameserver
  - DELEG positively secures the next resolution stage
- Supports recognition of operator role
  - Manages DNSSEC without needing ongoing registrant interaction
  - Can more easily change authoritative name servers via configuration sets
- Transport Usage
  - Effectively a resolver can only trust that a delegation goes to udp/53
  - DoT / DoQ / DoH all have no in-bound way to signal use
- Extensibility
  - Hopefully makes parent-side changes just once
  - Many ideas to address from [Prague Hackathon](#)
  - Makes a clean off-ramp to a new base protocol ("DNSv2") easier

# Positive Initial Results

- Encouraged by interest from multiple sectors of the industry
  - Resolver and auth server implementers
  - Registries
  - Operators
  - Paul Mockapetris :) (To be clear: he didn't specifically endorse DELEG but at least the idea of updating how delegations are done.)
- Roy Arends and Shumon Huque tested the basic approach
  - Authoritative server configured to return dual-DELEG/NS
  - Also tested DNSSEC assertion of DELEG use, to avoid downgrade
  - Existing, non-DELEG-aware resolvers handled presence of DELEG gracefully

# Open Issues

- How is DELEG used without DNSSEC?
  - Any security-related parameters can't be trusted; eg, certificate references
- Should there be a signal in query indicating DELEG support?
  - Maybe one for getting *only* DELEG if present, to keep response size down?
- DELEG proposes indicating properties of the delegation
  - Can it also signal properties of the zone, like "this is a public suffix"?
  - Maybe use a special server target of "." to indicate zone parameters?
  - How does that work in multi-provider?
- Can any service parameters go on an alias-mode DELEG?
  - Glue? Error reporting channel?
- What should happen in a dual-DELEG/NS delegation?
  - Can a DELEG-aware resolver fall back to NS?
- Anything else? Send it to the list!