Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
IETF meetings, virtual meetings, and mailing lists are intended for professional collaboration and networking, as defined in the IETF Guidelines for Conduct (RFC 7154), the IETF Anti-Harassment Policy, and the IETF Anti-Harassment Procedures (RFC 7776). If you have any concerns about observed behavior, please talk to the Ombudsteam, who are available if you need confidentiality to raise concerns confident about harassment or other conduct in the IETF.

The IETF strives to create and maintain an environment in which people of many different backgrounds and identities are treated with dignity, decency, and respect. Those who participate in the IETF are expected to behave according to professional standards and demonstrate appropriate workplace behavior.

IETF participants must not engage in harassment while at IETF meetings, virtual meetings, social events, or on mailing lists. Harassment is unwelcome hostile or intimidating behavior—in particular, speech or behavior that is aggressive or intimidates.

If you believe you have been harassed, notice that someone else is being harassed, or have any other concerns, you are encouraged to raise your concern in confidence with one of the Ombudspersons.
IETF 119 Meeting Tips

In-person participants
- Make sure to sign into the session via Datatracker or the QR Code in this session.
- Use Meetecho (usually the “Meetecho lite”) client to:
  - join the mic queue
  - participate in shows of hands
- Keep audio and video off if not using the onsite version.

Remote participants
- Make sure your audio and video are off unless you are chairing or presenting during a session.
- Use of a headset is strongly recommended.
Resources for IETF 119 Brisbane

- Agenda
  [https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/agenda](https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/agenda)
- Meetecho and other information:
  [https://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/preparation](https://www.ietf.org/how/meetings/preparation)
- If you need technical assistance, see the Reporting Issues page:
Today’s agenda

● Document status for -toplevel
● Multiple suffixes
● Organizations in the standards tree
● RTP media type registry merge
● Rewrite

But first - hold for scribe volunteer :-(
Document status

- Toplevel: New version (-05) published 2024-03-03
- Haptics: Approved by IESG - AD followup
- Suffixes: See later slides
- Standards tree: WG Last Call ready (not done)
- BCP 13 / RFC 6838 rewrite: Started a little bit
#9, #10 and #14 were closed as completed
- #14 Precise IANA instructions - [commit](#), [commit](#), [commit](#)
- #10 Nits (too many commits to link - see [issue comment](#))
- #9 Considerations vs instructions - [commit](#)
  - Tightened some instructions, moved others to “considerations”
Toplevel status

- Based on -05, Lars Eggert has dropped his DISCUSS
- AD (Murray) is awaiting WG feedback
- You have ~days to raise any nits or issues
- Who’s read the draft?
Still unclear what suffixes “mean”
  ● it’s unclear what “mean” means....

Identify an alternate interpretation of the content (“you can display this as format-x in a debugger”)?

Identify a conceptual processing step to get at the “inner semantic”?

Suggestion: Only define “the interpretation of +bar+baz is what’s registered” and have that sometimes be “don’t use this”.

Suffixes - administrativia

- Update revises the suffixes registry
- IANA wants explicit instructions on the update
- Needs to be done after we decide registry format is stable
Organizations status

- Forgot to issue WG Call for adoption after last IETF
- Seems uncontroversial; should issue WG Last Call after this meeting
- Suggestion: Hold after WG Last Call and integrate into rewrite
RTP media type registry merge

- No trace found of the decision to create the RTP media type registry
- Magnus Westerlund has reviewed the status and suggested updates
- Best option is to merge the registries
- This is not urgent; do as part of registration document update
Rewrite status

- We have an XML that fixes one issue (Mac codes)
- We need an editor
- We may need a recharter to tackle this
Any Other Business

It’s over!